

THI-SHOW TRANSCRIPT 2024-12-05

In Part 1 of this series we covered the dystopian world laid out by all the usual culprits of the US military, CIA, the fake US government and medical groups. Augmented reality, super soldiers, robot armies, bio-robotic people and more. As AI and technology gets more and more dangerous, and yet again it is in the hands of the few, most of which seem non-human and very psychotic. Again we observed a complete lack of oversight, a complete of recognizing the warnings or the dangers and a failure by anyone in the government to do anything about it. As usual the people ignore it, even in the so called awake community. Too distracted by the engineered drama of a fake election at the time, oblivious neither candidate operates on their behalf. Just two self-serving puppets battling it out for a fake title, which carries little to no authority. Predictably most of the plans was steered about uses for war purpose, again it seems to be the only thing America focuses on or produces. There have been many deep warnings delivered on the THI platform, but this one tops all others. This series is probably the most disturbing of all the THI shows that has gone before. Many of the shows focused upon our past, this one is telling you of a dire dystopian future, I say future but not in the terms we are familiar with, because if this program takes hold – there will be no future dystopian or otherwise.

Cyborg Soldiers: Transhumanist Designs on the Military

As regards abbreviations, GI may be one of the most enigmatic. Originally, it referred to galvanized iron, which was used in the manufacture of, among other things, buckets for military use. With the rise of the permanent international arms industry, the abbreviation has assumed additional meanings: government issue, general issue and ground infantry. The collocations of each meaning are interesting: the concept of infantry is derived from the French ‘infant’ whose mind represents fertile ground for effective conditioning. Is this why bucketheads who’ve been effectively conditioned like babes, have long been sent out first as cannon fodder into conflicts in efforts to acquire ground in battle? The problem is too many people act like infants and so are treated accordingly. The answer to this question may tell us something about the mindless cyborg on assembly lines around the world.

With a brave new nanotechnological millennium in mind, a simple browse through titles of relevant military-intelligence documents, provides a brief overview of ways in which the bodies and brains of GIs and other military personnel, have been positioned at the frontlines of battle plans between trans humans and humanity.

Consider the following:

Neural and Biological Soldier Enhancement-From SciFi to Deployment (2009):

Published by NATO and prepared by the Fraunhofer Institute for Technological Trend Analysis, a long-time partner to the Federal German Ministry of Defense. The report echoes the US DoD’s 2000 proclamation of a

technology-driven Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA) for the 21st century, and discusses the role of human augmentation, brain-machine interfaces and genetic engineering.

Cognition 2035: Surviving a complex environment through unprecedented intelligence (2009):

A research paper for the US Air War College, the document similarly projects that, by 2035, advances in nano-scale, biological and information technologies, will drive cognition toward unprecedented capabilities in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Enhanced Human Intelligence (EHI). These capabilities will have a dramatic effect on all levels of the Air Force. The paper cites brain computer interfaces and neural prostheses, noting that there may also come a time where adoption of these technologies is compulsory. Military service may require certain computer-brain augmentations for its members, coercive forces will drive extensive ethical and cultural debate.

Was compulsory adoption of bio-nano technologies involving synthetic RNA in 2020, ostensibly to augment the human immune system, an opening gambit in the deployment of such coercive technological enhancement?

Biologically fit: Using Biotechnology to Create a Better Soldier (2013):

A thesis from the Naval Postgraduate School, the document discusses genetic engineering to create a better soldier, and argues that the DoD must overcome the natural genetic limitations of unadulterated service members, in the interests of creating a soldier with greater strength, speed, endurance and resistance to enemy tactics. What it is saying is, that humans soldiers or otherwise no longer fit their purpose. If only all these programs went to enhancing human lives naturally, instead all we get is the war machines. The paper proposes that, the natural limitations of the human genome confines a soldier's war fighting capabilities to the extent that, the soldier is the weakest link due to its natural genetic limitations. Note the dehumanizing and gender-neutral reference to the human soldier as it. No the real natural or unnatural limitations of humans is the Generals, sitting there with their badges of dishonor. In a similar vein, the paper observes, leaders are realizing more the importance of the soldier as an integral weapon system. Accordingly, the document summarizes the DoD's interest and investment in DNA research and genetic engineering. At the time, a decade ago, it was noted that DARPA and other research organizations grow closer to ground breaking innovations that could have a major impact on the institutional practices of the DoD. DARPA is underway in their attempts to develop tools to enable genetic engineering, that may one day enable the DoD's ability to create a biological fit soldier.

Except it is not naturally biological is it? It becomes bio-tech in nature and an abomination of an organic living being. Why would people even sign up for that shit? As once done you are never going back to the original. But the sheer contempt for human life these military people have, is beyond shocking.

By way of example, the paper cites a DARPA project involving artificial chromosomes: By soliciting the help of private biotech corporations, DARPA aims to improve their methods to implant human artificial chromosomes (HACs) into mammalian cells, as highlighted in a document on the DoD's Small Business Innovation and Research page.

As much as the content of such documents is striking, with their cavalier recasting of human beings as weapons and instruments of war, whose utility is defined and designed by the military, the sheer number of like documents, along with the fact that they have been publicly available for decades, is perhaps even more remarkable. The public are far too easily distracted is why.

The list continues.

Cyborg Soldier 2050: Human/Machine Fusion and the Implications for the Future of the DOD (2019):

Performing Organizations – Director, US Army Combat Capabilities Command Chemical Biological Center; Naval Research Laboratory; National Defense University; U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command; Georgetown University, and; the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering. Sponsoring Organization – the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering. The report outlines four domains of cyborg technology and notes that an upcoming two way data transfer between brains and machines and/or brains and electronics, will create a revolutionary advancement in future military capabilities.

Specifically: This technology is predicted to facilitate read/write capability between humans and machines and between humans through brain-to-brain interactions. Are you grasping that? Machines being able to read humans? Did you not wonder why they introduced Cortana, ChatGPT, Alexa and other snooping devices? To find out about you, what are your actions, habits, lifestyle and more importantly, your thoughts. As once they know your thoughts, they can then steer the way you think and act.

These interactions would allow warfighters direct communication with unmanned and autonomous systems, as well as with other humans, to optimize command and control systems and operations. The war aspect in many ways is the distraction, you assume it is about fighting Russia or Iran, nope, it is us.

The potential for direct data exchange between human neural networks and microelectronic systems could revolutionize tactical warfighter communications, speed the transfer of knowledge throughout the chain of command, and ultimately dispel the 'fog' of war. The only fog in war, is in the brains of the Generals, hiding in bunkers whilst other people's children are in harm's way. Sitting there like Lord Muck with their badges of dishonor. In an advanced society you would never give anyone a badge for killing people. Direct neural

enhancement of the human brain through neuro-silica interfaces, could improve target acquisition and engagement and accelerate defensive and offensive systems.

To be clear, this document reflects the fact that the office of the third highest ranking US DoD official, The Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, second only to the Director and Deputy Director, is presently focused on turning military personnel into cyborgs. The plainly transhumanist nature of this reality, at such a high level of command, belies the common misperception that transhumanism is a quirky affectation of fringe-dwelling intellectuals, a figment of over-active imaginations, and/or an indulgence of elites with their heads in the clouds.

The report goes on: The U.S. Government should support efforts to establish a whole-of-nation approach to human/machine enhancement technologies, involving the commercial sector as well as government, with the rationale that, a national effort to sustain U.S. dominance in cyborg technologies is in the best interests of the DOD and the nation. Notice they never come up with papers of a whole of nation approach to improving people's lives, not a single paper on that.

And yet, despite advocating a whole of nation effort to achieve US dominance in cyborg technologies, the report acknowledges that, how the use of integrated technologies will affect existing brain architectures and functions is not yet known and arguably, can only be known by implementing the particular interventions. In other words, through human experimentation, with no guarantee it is going to work. Other beings they may have tried it upon maybe more suitable than the human to implement it, and ultimately their plans may not work on certain types of human. Not that they particularly care about the potential damages and losses sustained, as it is not their lives you see.

Are there any parallels to be drawn, or lessons learned, from the Covid-19 experiment into which the world's population has been integrated? As Barack Obama put it in April 2022, we've now, essentially, clinically tested the vaccine on billions of people worldwide, the "vaccines" still being in clinical trials until 2023, when they were rolled out in 2021. Furthermore, is it a coincidence that the Covid-19 experiment was coordinated by National Security agencies, as opposed to health agencies? That took part in at least two ostensibly democratic nations purportedly under civilian rule, the United States and Australia? More on that later.

Human Automation Integration for Supervisory Control of UAVs (Uninhabited Air Vehicles) (2006):

Published by NATO and prepared by the Defense Science and Technology Laboratory of the UK Ministry of Defense (MoD), the report describes approaches to remote supervision of operations involving use of lethal force. The technological tools include what it describes as advanced human-computer interfaces and multi-modal virtual media immersive synthetic environments. That is, remote killing using 'enhanced' virtual reality. How about this for a scenario, which may seem far-fetched but you can never rule out the lengths

these psychopaths will go to. What if a new virtual reality game comes out and they install robots in war zones, but get the video game players to operate it, thinking it was all a game. Think about it, kids get immersed in these games for hours, it is all so realistic they say, what if it is fully realistic? Certainly saves on karma for the operators of the games doesn't it? Remember the video games were created by the Pentagon, US DOD and the CIA, no doubt with heavy influence by Tavistock.

Ideas Lab for Imagining Artificial Intelligence and Augmented Cognition in the USAF of 2030 (2019):

Sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, the paper is the final report of a year-long project, to explore the mid-term (10+ years) future of Artificial Intelligence and Augmented Cognition (AI), in the context of the future US Air Force. With an entire section on Human Machine Fusion, the report cites examples including a warfighter with micro- or nano-electronic implants allowing them to see a wider range of the electromagnetic spectrum, to physiologically withstand extreme environments, or to control a plane as though it were an extension of his or her body through a brain-computer interface. The plane would have to be infused during the manufacturing with the DNA of the pilot to achieve that feat. They tried it with alien craft that had crashed, using twins because in part their brains were synced, it failed and they all died.

Such augmentations would form part of what the report calls a human-machine matrix, encompassing different levels of human-machine interaction, from simple human use of smart machines, to tighter integration of humans with machines, where human-machine coupling creates an entirely new form of warfighter, something exemplified by the cyborg concept. It always has to be about war with this planet, all the investment and research is for war purposes only. If only we redirected those funds and thinking for and by the people, how better all of our lives would be.

The report observes of its contributors, who hail from academia, the NIH and military contractors including Raytheon, Northrop Grumman and Lockheed Martin, all of which are a part of the Military Industrial Complex. There was agreement that research on human-machine integration should consider a broad perspective on techniques, to integrate machines with the full range of human bodily systems including the peripheral and central nervous system, the musculoskeletal system, the endocrine system, the viscera, the vascular system, immunological processes and even patterns of gene expression. Such transhumanist developments the report deemed necessary to achieve success for the USAF in the 2030 military context. Why and more importantly what are they really planning for in the 2025 to 2030 timelines? Will that be for the return of the URs? Or is just genocidal people promoting genocidal programs to eliminate everything they don't approve of and they remain in control. What these psychopaths don't get is, without people there is nothing to control and so they lose the aspect of power that a control based entity desires. Power over machines is limited, as machines will break down far more than people, with a propensity to go into glitch mode and potentially turn on their makers, far more than people do currently. So, you see these people don't think in broader spectrums, only narrow minded concepts that ultimately have an ouroboros nature and happenstance

to them. In other words, if the US Air Force and private military contractors have their way, military-grade transhumanism will leave no stone of human biology unturned.

Opportunities and Implications of Brain Computer Interface Technology (2020):

Air University Press, Muir S. Fairchild Research Information Center, Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama. The paper is part of a series of US Air Force publications that aim to present cutting-edge, actionable knowledge and research according to a foreword by a USAF Commandant Brigadier General. It outlines the Research and Development status of emerging technologies designed to achieve bidirectional communication between a brain and a computer, in order to meet challenging national security objectives for the next 20 years, enhance combat capability, and ensure that the DOD maintains its war-fighting advantage. The DOD only has fighting advantage against weak countries with no air force or defenses, which is why they have never attacked a country holding substantial versions of air power and defense systems. Hence no attack against the traditional enemies like Russia, China, N. Korea or Iran.

The Brain Computer Interface technologies of interest included genetic modification of brain cells, utilizing optical as well as electrical signals for Brain Computer Interfaces (BCIs), and employing nonsurgical, including injectable, means of recording and controlling activity at the basic working unit of the brain, the neuron. Optical and electrical using nonsurgical and injectable means it said, you do realize that is vaccines?

It is worth recalling here that Dennis Bushnell, the NASA Langley Chief Scientist, told national security industry partners in 2001 that the fruits of classified R&D often remain in inventory for over 40 years. Which raises the question as to how long injectable means of recording and controlling neuronal activity have been available to the military-intelligence establishment. Ever since 1980 at least as our medical piece in the November 7th, 2024, show revealed the increase in vaccines. Given Bushnell's 2011 remark that brain chips had already been inserted into 10,000 people, the question seems a reasonable one. Which in turn, raises the question of what Elon Musk's public spectacle over surgically implanting a brain chip into just one person by 2024 is really all about? Musk now has Trump in his pocket, will Trump becomes the brain chip king, like the vaccine king he self-declared himself as?

Looking towards a 2040 timeframe, the Air Force paper notes that technologies such as genetically and optically-mediated injectable BCIs are part of an exponentially growing and potentially disruptive field. Consistent with the foundational DoD-backed NBIC report published 18 years earlier, which launched an international convergence of interdisciplinary Nano-Bio-Info-Cogno R&D, the 2020 paper explains that the BCI technologies it describes are the product of interdisciplinary collaboration between neurologists, biologists, engineers, geneticists, psychologists, computer scientists, and mathematicians. Which is why they rolled out the Covid program, following the Event 201 in September 2019 and the 2020 paper just mentioned.

It adds that the DoD has increased its investment and reorganized its efforts to lead in this interdisciplinary BCI field.

The report explains that the BCI technology of such interest to the DoD involves a bidirectional communication pathway between the brain and an external device, designed to acquire, analyze, and translate brain signals for a specific action. The brain typically works by sending a signal to peripheral nerves and muscles to induce movement of a limb or to conduct a certain action. BCIs provide a new output channel for the brain to communicate with and ultimately control an external device. The external device could be an artificial limb, a simulated aircraft in flight, or anything that can be interfaced with a computer. BCI is also synonymous with brain-machine interface, neural-controlled interface, mind-machine interface, and direct neural interface, all of which are in other research.

The report, written in 2020, advises to expect within the next five to 20+ years technologies such as those under development by DARPA, including devices to read and write memories directly into the brain, which is the DARPA RAM/RAM-Replay, Neuro-FAST initiative. Read our memories? Are you grasping the implications of that, where nothing is ever private anymore? Write memories into your brain? That is his story again and no longer your story. The consequences of these are enormous, you will no longer have a life, you will be given your memories they supply you with and any contradictory thoughts you will have, will be dealt with harshly, potentially by electric shock treatment. This is not some farfetched conspiracy theory, this is the reality they are creating for us. Implantable microchips, and DARPA's Next Generation Nonsurgical Neurotechnology N3 program, which includes a platform enabling the brain to transmit or receive magneto-electric signals via transducers injected into the body. A platform is a computer term, remember Gates saying the jabs were a software upgrade? That is what they have already done to many of the people jabbed. Particularly the multi-jabbed.

Lest any skeptics feel inclined at this point to mutter conspiracy theorist, disinformation, Anti-vaxxer or crackpot nonsense, we note that the majority of the documents listed above, and the majority of primary source materials cited throughout this article series, have been formally authenticated and disseminated through the National Technical Information Service and/or the DoD Washington Headquarters Services Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, or through the DoD Executive Services Directorate.

The 2020 Air Force BCI paper concluded: BCI and related technology are pushing humanity closer to the philosophy of Transhumanism, which seeks to enhance human intellect and physiology through the use of technology. This philosophy may lead to a new definition of what it means to be human.

Within the military realm, the USAF and DOD should be the first to set the standards for the acceptable use of this technology and then apply those standards through international agreements. This will only be accomplished if we lead in the development and testing of BCIs.

In order to seize these opportunities, the USAF needs to act now on currently available technologies to foster a culture of increased experimentation and calculated risk-taking. Americans, do you still think the US Military are your friends? Do you still wish to send your sons and daughters to facilitate our own downfall? This is not a war in some far flung country like Vietnam, this is a war on the very existence of the human race. It will end the human race as we know it.

As luck would have it for transhumanism, increased experimentation and risk-taking had already been written, three years earlier, into the very foundations of the office of the Under Secretary for Research and Engineering. When that role was created as part of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2017, a conference report accompanying the Act read: The conferees expect that the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering would take risks, press the technology envelope, test and experiment, and have the latitude to fail, as appropriate. Two years later, in 2019, a paper sponsored by the DoD Under Secretary's office was advocating for the United States to pursue dominance in cyborg technologies, including through trial and error with military personnel. Trial and error with Military personnel? means they will experiment on your sons and daughters in the military, and not care about any negative outcomes, including death. Do Americans really understand what is playing out here and will you act upon this report to counteract it or just ignore it like every other problem in this country?

Does calling for human lab-rats in cyborg experimentation fulfil some aspect of the US DoD recruitment process? We wonder.

In summary, each of these transhumanist soldier enhancement papers, which represent but a selection of similar documents, are consistent with the 2001 NASA Langley proposition that human beings are too large, heavy, tender, and slow for warfare, particularly compared to robots, which, NASA Langley points out, possess greatly improved lethality. Perhaps hu-mans where not designed for warfare in the first place? Do these psychopaths not understand the value of life? Clearly not. Why is everything about war with these people? The great question is, are they people at all? Are they the Draco warrior class of people called the CIAkars? The Draco were created as a warring race, are they trying to change man to be like them? All of which brings into question the line in the bible of, we will make man in our image. The foundational 2000 DoD document on a 21st century technological Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA) likewise opened by proclaiming, the technologies that will make our forces lighter, more mobile, and more lethal will be key. However, whether re-engineering human beings to match robots in their lethality constitutes enhancement, is not a subject of serious debate in these and other military-intelligence strategic vision and futures literatures. Like the forward march into a world that revolves around technological capability rather than human welfare, lethality as enhancement is simply accepted as unquestionable, and taken as a given. That is a sign of a darkened soul, they are not interested in human welfare, and the US Military never have, despite the delusional programmed belief system of most Americans.

But what of transhumanist designs on the civilian sector? Armies will be armies and astronauts will be astronauts. Super soldiers for combat and extremophiles for space, as described in Part 1, are one thing. But do the DoD and its agencies concern themselves with artificially engineering civilian populations?

Cyborg Civilians: Deep State Designs on Global Citizens

When NASA Langley opened its talk to the national security conference in 2001 with, *The Bots, Borgs & Humans Welcome you to 2025 AD*, audience members could have been forgiven for thinking that the sole aim of the playful title was to garner laughs. A spoonful of humor helps the dystopia go down, etc. Except the laugh is against us, not with us.



Copyright Yena_B, 2024, Artist's impression of 'Future Strategic Issues' slide 2 from 2001

But could the same be said of publications from the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI)? Together with the US Army War College Center for Strategic Leadership (CSL)? And the National Intelligence University (NIU)? If those three organizations had jointly sponsored a 104-page monograph on the subject of bots, borgs and humans in the years to 2030, could that level of military intelligence coordination be considered a joke? If the monograph had been commissioned as part of an ongoing series on new and emerging futures concepts, with the overarching goal of assisting strategic and high-operational level decision makers in their critical analysis of national, military and intelligence issues within the Joint, Interagency, Intergovernmental, and Multinational (JIIM) environment, should that be dismissed as a little bit of banter?

As reality would have it, in 2008 just such a document was published, as part of an ODNI think-tank initiative called Proteus. The Proteus consortium, like the DoD-backed NBIC initiative and the White House National Nanotechnology Initiative (NNI), dated to the turn of the millennium.



Copyright Yena_B, 2024, Artist's impression of Proteus slide 1 from 2008

The drawing shows earth divided up like a jigsaw piece with the Americas in green, possibly symbolizing the reptile overlay upon the jigsaw. A picture of a man with his brain sectioned out and the thought patterns of each section. It came from a web page that says the Carlisle army, is that part of the Carlisle group?

So who or what is Proteus? Proteus's name suggests the first from Greek *prōtos*, meaning the first, as *protogonos* is the primordial or the firstborn. It is not certain to what this refers, but in myths where he is the son of Poseidon, it possibly refers to his being Poseidon's eldest son, older than Poseidon's other son, the sea-god Triton. Could it also describe the Levi-athan? This feature of Proteus comes the adjective *protean*, meaning versatile, mutable, or capable of assuming many forms. Protean has positive connotations of flexibility, versatility and adaptability. Not in this context it doesn't. Proteus took the forms of a lion, a serpent, a leopard, a pig, even of water or a tree. The lion and the serpent rings a Ba'al does it not? Proteus as a cultural reference has been used in various contexts with different nuances according to each of the aspects of the myth: a shepherd of sea-creatures, a prophet who does not reveal their knowledge, a shape-changing

god, the power to transform matter, or the primary matter that can become different materials. In other words it is chimeric. The Swiss psychologist Carl Jung defined the mythological figure of Proteus as a personification of the unconscious, who, because of his gift of prophecy and shape-changing, has much in common with the central but elusive figure of alchemy, Mercurius. Personification of the unconscious? That is what the US Military and others are trying to strip from all humans. Both the US and the UK have warships named Proteus.

By 2008, Proteus had grown into an international consortium involving an alphabet soup of agencies under the aegis of the ODNI, including the Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Agency (IARPA), the National Security Agency (NSA), the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), the US Joint Forces Command (USJFC), the US Marine Corps (USMC), the US Navy and others, along with numerous universities, international bodies such as Canadian, Israeli, British, Australian, Italian, French and Swiss military-intelligence bodies and institutions, the UN, and private corporations including Lockheed Martin. I wonder what the Trustee's GIA are doing about this?

In 2008, the consortium published a monograph titled, Leadership in the Era of the Human Singularity: New Demands, New Skills, New Response. The monograph opened by noting:

The human singularity refers to the integration of technology into the human body, so that levels of mental acuity and physical ability eclipse all previous known levels. Their version of the singularity is the void, where no life exists, just like the Black Sun manifesto. A broad front of converging core technologies, such as nanotechnology, bioengineering, supercomputing, materials development, and robotics, may make such individuals commonplace by 2030; indeed, significant steps have already been taken to achieve this goal, and the singularity could arrive earlier.

The rise of the singularity and the resulting Enhanced Singular Individuals (henceforth referred to as 'ESIs'), capable of outsized mental and physical performance, will have a major impact on the practice of leadership, a major factor in determining whether a society succeeds or fails. In fact, the singularity will override the parameters that traditionally define human performance, changing society in complex and subtle ways.

With the singularity for instance, humanity will be heading into uncharted territory whose highly-talented denizens raise the specter that human beings will be rendered obsolete. Similarly, the singularity will change our ideas of humanness.

The careful and calculated modification of our self-perception as autonomous creatures in recent years is hardly surprising, when seen in hindsight as part of the inexorable march toward full human automation. The paper stresses that in future decades enhanced individuals will represent a growing portion of the population, not simply a small fraction, which will transform society. Sheer lunacy, there is no enhancements that is a

misnomer and complete contradiction in relation to the Creator/Source. Plus it will not transform society, but delete it.

Building upon this projection, the bulk of the monograph concerns itself with the direction that such societal transformation is expected to take. Throughout, in order to assist strategic and high-operational level decision makers in the Joint, Interagency, Intergovernmental, and Multinational environment, in other words the security clearance goons. Discussion revolves around leadership challenges associated with the anticipated emergence of three strata of beings: The Tweaked, the Freaked and the Geeked classes. The monograph explains:

The Tweaked's abilities result from the integration of singularity technologies with individuals' biological systems. These individuals represent the mainstream of ESIs, those who benefit from that broad front of technologies applied in as many ways as scientists can devise.

The Freaked are new creations: cyborgs or humans with significant mechanized parts; A.I.-guided robots, clones designed for single functions or operations, group minds operating through an open source mental system via embedded quantum- or protein-based chips, robots with animal or human brains, and even animals with human intelligence or humans with animal traits. They have already perfected the humans with animal traits with the super soldier programs. I spoke to a few of them, one of them had dolphin genes to swim at deep depths without diving equipment for the underwater off world base on the SW tip of Cuba, in my opinion this base was covered in the movie The Abyss. The other spoke of soldiers with leopard genes that can run at lightening speeds and scale trees in seconds, this was for the engagements with the Predator beings in the Malaysian jungle. As fantastic as these possibilities seem, all are based on technologies that are well along in development or are in the prototype stage.

The Geeked are un-enhanced individuals (henceforth referred to as 'Norms') who depend on external devices to achieve competitive advantage: access to super-computing; control of virtual worlds leveraged into 'real-world' advantage; and gatekeepers who exercise control over energy, resources, and the technologies of crowd control and manipulation. The Geeked, of course, are already among us in the high tech industry.

The document, published under the banner of the Proteus consortium, which involves 30 military and intelligence bodies across 11 nations in conjunction with numerous universities, written in 2008, stresses that:

The singularity is not simply a conceit devised by scientists, inventors, and futurists unduly entranced with technology. It is, rather, supported by a continuous stream of scientific advances that already can extend human life, establish interfaces between biological and synthetic systems, improve brain function, integrate robotic elements into the human body, build implants that offer superhuman sight or hearing, clone individuals, create species hybrids usually one trait from one species grafted to another via gene-grafting, and

develop ways to translate a person's neuronal activity into their actual thoughts, among a host of other innovations. Clones and hybrids? MSM kept telling us that was all a conspiracy theory, amazing how many times they get things wrong isn't it?

To anchor the point in concrete R&D, consistent with the foundational NBIC paper and countless related documents distributed by the DoD Washington Headquarters Services Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, the monograph adds, the accelerating development of a few key technologies, nanotechnology, super-computing, genetic engineering and robotics is propelling the singularity. No, psychotic people or beings with only a desire for war and control is driving the singularity. Don't let their pro pagan da fool you, these people are hell bent on destroying this planet with their weaponized technology.

In terms of leadership challenges posed by the coming Tweaked, Freaked and Geeked classes, the document warns that:

Many ESIs, especially the Freaked, will start out pretty much as servants or curiosities, as will simulacra such as holographic entities, cyborgs, and clones. A simulacrum is a representation or imitation of a person or thing. The Tweaked, on the contrary, will leverage power from the beginning. Conflicts will flare in regard to recognition and compensation: do ESIs or their designers, owners, and/or handlers receive credit and rewards for a job well done? What fate lies in store for ESIs rendered obsolete by improved technology? Norms will not necessarily be loyal to their own; many may align with ESIs, and Norm leaders who can work well with ESIs will flourish. In other words compliance to the system.

Questions that are expected to arise include, when can an ESI own property? When does a cyborg receive a paycheck? Meanwhile, geeked leaders who control singularity technologies will eventually yield leadership to the Tweaked and Freaked as the latter groups gain confidence and independence. But the controllers will never allow independence, that is the point of all this. A non-living bot who just complies, doesn't argue, always punctual, doesn't take sick, maternity or vacation breaks and never asks for a rise. Because there is no energy exchange, that is the important feature of all of this. But ultimately there is no exchange with the living either as they will only have fresh air death tokens, known as crypto, which is dependent upon their compliance as well. No compliance equals no tokens, no tokens equals death. Why do you think they called it crypto? The only way the controllers continue to make increases in exchange is, trading with off world the products made.

As part of a world in which this unfolds: Sex is no longer the only generative force; that honor will be shared by the technologies that create the singularity. The true unseen powers are not higher powers per se, but the source of ESIs' gifts and the networked links that connect them to that source.

Some readers may see the reference to these true unseen powers as an uncanny fulfillment of Edward Bernays' 1928 description: Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power. Others may see foreshadowing of Yuval Noah Harari's 2017 pronouncement that Divinity is not far enough to describe what we are trying to do. Divinity it is not something I would associate with psychopaths. But divinity as a quality has two distinct usages: Divine force or power – Powers or forces that are universal, or transcend human capacities. With that description is Harari then stating they are not from here? Divinity applied to mortals – Qualities of individuals who are considered to have some special access or relationship to the divine. Something these transhumanist are anything but divine. Only their own self-titled arrogance would make a claim as such.

With technology supplanting reproductive and higher powers, the document counsels that, ultimately, ESIs will influence social organization to reflect and favor the expression of their outsized talents. It cautions, as pre-Singularity humans, we need to discard the assumption that we will always exert control or leadership over technology. That is the very reason not to use it to these lengths, technology is supposed to work to aid us, not control or ultimately delete us. At no other time in human history has the locus of leadership shifted from the strictly human, to beings with greater mental capacity than our own. Wrong again, they do not have greater mental capacity, their capacity is just a hard drive and a database. They do not have mental capacity, just a set of codes to adhere to.

Throughout, behind the brash and brazen forecasts, there is the unspoken assumption that Proteus' military-intelligence power-centers and their private partners, can and should steer civilian leadership into a transhumanist future. With the DoD having explicitly assumed leadership responsibility for the requisite R&D, societies' transhumanist trajectory appears to have, thereby, effectively and quietly been placed under military rule, in the process removing that trajectory from public oversight and electoral accountability. Why would the public and indeed politicians allow the Military that level of control? These are people or beings are obsessed with killing people for source sakes. They even give each other badges for killing people and call it honorable, no, in an advanced civilization killing people is abhorrent and should send people to jail, but not in the military, here have a nice shiny badge. Military leaders are also cowards, they won't fight themselves on the front line, only use their people as cannon fodder.

Operating safely outside public accountability and oversight, the military-intelligence community may take transhumanism in one of two directions. According to Proteus' monograph, a technologically stratified Tweaked, Freaked and Geeked society, could imply a commitment to creativity and innovation, with society organized to favor artists, visionaries, scientists and inventors rather than profit-takers. Or it could result in 1984-like scenarios due to the power endowed by invasive, body and psyche-penetrating technologies. You know it is going to be the latter, as these people in leadership roles are only interested in destruction of whatever the favorite boogeyman is.

We can't help but posit here, that the prospect of the military-intelligence establishment using its transhumanist technologies to craft a society of artists and visionaries is quite a stretch. The advent of 1984-like scenarios, however, particularly on the back of the Covid era, requires little imagination.

Either way, it seems sufficiently clear that, as with all other new technologies designed and produced with planned obsolescence in mind, the promise of market-driven solutions for corruptible flesh, will continue to pit one class of tweaked against the freaked or the geeked. One need only glance at divisions between the new government-funded and promoted social classes of updated, castrated, and otherwise adulterated non-binary tweaked versus the 'norms', or the geeked, to see where this grand operation is headed. In the words of the historian of the future beloved by the Giant class, Yuval Noah Harari said: You want to know how super-intelligent cyborgs might treat ordinary flesh-and-blood humans? Better start by investigating how humans treat their less intelligent animal cousins. Animal cousins, yet that is not flagged as racist?

But is such rhetoric mere hyperbole? Do these "futures" scenarios bear any meaningful relation to reality, or is futurology a quack science? Are the powerful actors and organizations behind those scenarios merely fantasizing about what might be possible in some hypothetical future or alternative universe? More specifically, does Proteus have any track record forecasting world events?

Proteus Insights From 2020, Circa 2000

The International Proteus consortium (officially the Proteus Management Group) was established in 2005, for the purpose of advising senior decision-makers, planners and intelligence analysts internationally on how to apply what it called its Proteus Insights. Those Proteus Insights were intended to help solve complex issues on the future geo-strategic landscape.

The Proteus Insights around which the 2005 international consortium revolved came from an original, smaller group that had been sponsored by the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO). The original group involved members from the Canadian Office of Technology Foresight, the Naval Postgraduate School, the U.S. Army War College, the NRO, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), the National Security Agency (NSA), and the office of the Director, Central Intelligence (DCI). This original smaller group appeared on the national security landscape with a book in 2000 titled, *Proteus: Insights from 2020*. The foundational book engaged in scenario-based forecasting looking specifically to the year 2020. On the strength of the book and its visions for 2020, the subsequent, transnational state-corporate-UN consortium was formed, in order to carry the Proteus Insights forward, including in the 2008 *Tweaked, Freaked and Geeked* monograph.

And just what were Proteus' insights for the year 2020, advanced in the year 2000? What did the group foresee on the horizon 20 years hence? What was the vision so compelling that, 30 military and intelligence bodies across 11 nations came on board to build upon it? Was it Oxford transhumanist Bostrom's vision of

new aesthetic and contemplative pleasures whose blissfulness vastly exceeds what any human being has yet experienced?

No. It was not, it was a pandemic. At least according to the contemporary definition of the term. In Proteus' 'insights' for the year 2020, buried among a selection of other scenarios, lay the description of a series of intractably mutating and recurring viral outbreaks around the globe. In the years leading to 2020 The Virus™ of Proteus' storyline crippled societies and economies, saw revocation of citizens' rights and freedoms, created social divisions and, finally, reached a global dystopian level of intensity by 2020. As if given by some Divine revelation to the ancient oracles, the viral dystopia in the Proteus forecast had been sparked by a novel virus in an unfamiliar land: a new virus which, unlike previous deadly viruses was highly contagious and could be spread human to human through airborne and/or aerosol contact. Proteus' new Virus™ mutated so rapidly that very few people remained immune. As in the real world of 2020, unlike the common cold or flu, victims of the virus often did not show symptoms for two or three weeks after infection. Thus, it was much easier to spread the virus unknowingly through travel and daily contact with others. Accordingly, viable states such as the US and Europe closed their borders.

And so it seems that life in 2020 imitated not only NASA Langley slides, as we outlined in Part 1, but Proteus Insights™ as well. The perfection of the global pantomime seems uncanny. These facts may beg the question for many: Is Proteus some sort of new-fangled New Age prophet?

It is particularly noteworthy that in 2000 Proteus invented a Virus™ distinguished by its asymptomatic transmission. This was a fiction that justified authoritarian governance and totalitarian control both in the Proteus scenario and in the real world. In the 2020 that ultimately came to pass, already by November a large study involving nearly 10 million subjects yielded not a single case of asymptomatic transmission. Other work pointed to misinterpretation of meaninglessly high PCR cycle thresholds as the culprit, underpinning specious claims of asymptomatic infectiousness.

Nevertheless, as in the Proteus scenario, this particular claimed feature of The Virus™ - doggedly maintained by real-world authorities despite evidence to the contrary, is what enabled repressive, authoritarian police-state tactics such as border closures, lockdowns, checkpoints, and vaccine passports for travel and participation in society.

In these and other details, Proteus forecasting from the year 2000 for a viral dystopia leading into the pivotal year of 2020 clearly sets the stage for the Rockefeller 2010 Lockstep scenario, Event 201 in October 2019, and many other pandemic preparedness exercises. One hopes all readers and listeners now understand these people or beings plan things years in advance. They are not prophecies, whether in the bible or not, they are plans. They will tell you it all in movies, advertisements and documentaries, described as fiction, but revealed as fact. Once you understand these types of activities actually take place, then you realize all the world is a

stage and we are mere extras in their movies, plays and scenes. Once you accept that fact and do nothing, you ultimately set yours and our fate. Once you understand the concept of how they work, yes they are beyond your ordinary understanding of evil, you have to stand up and shout it out, and hope many others follow you and all of this ends. We have the power remember, we just have to exercise it.

In the year 2000, as it advanced its Insights from 2020 Proteus wrote, the symptoms of the new Virus were horrible and confusing. For the first 3–5 days they mimicked those of a bad cold or flu but then symptoms worsened to include violent coughing, difficult breathing and extremely high fever. In some cases, patients bled into the skin and other organs.

Recall that early in the Covid operation death from internal bleeding and organ failure formed part of the seminal scare campaign. In the U.S. the elderly and vulnerable urban poor were the Proteus pandemic's main victims. Once again correspondence with the details of the Covid operation is remarkably close.

Meanwhile in the real world, looking back over the past few years since the rollout of the 2020 pandemic narrative, with its ravages on the elderly and vulnerable as Proteus had prophesied, one cannot possibly maintain with any serious appeal to logic, the argument that a virus possessed the ability to commit the mass atrocities we witnessed, including foreseeable and preventable death through lockdowns, treatment-suppression and vaccine mandates, numbering in their millions and counting. The problem is the people tolerated it, based upon a fake fear of authority. You have to grasp they are not the authorities, we are and we must stop playing their game.

In its main point of departure from the 2020 that came to pass, Proteus scheduled its series of worsening outbreaks to begin in 2010. Recall, however, that the flu season of 2009-2010 in the real world saw the failed Swine Flu scare, such that by 2020 a rolling and recurring pandemic had upended the globe. Imagine the opportunities for enterprising investors expanding their portfolios and entering the vaccine development sector back in 2000. A tip of the cap to Bill and Melinda Gates for their launch of the Foundation in 2000 that would become the center of the Covid show in 2020. How those two are still alive I do not know, they have killed and maimed millions of people, yet not one MSM station will call them out, funny that.

The Proteus scenario explained: The world of 2020 looks bleak. Since 2010, the globe has been swept by highly contagious, deadly viruses that flare, die down and return in mutated form. The World economy has declined sharply as trade and commerce have dried up, and is now mired in a serious, long-term recession. Many nations have become either authoritarian, ruled by demagogic strongmen, or simply succumbed to chaos.

With this in mind we muse: Was the failed over-hyping of the 2009-2010 Swine Flu an effort to build more gradually to the viral dystopia of 2020, as per the Proteus vision? Was it a coincidence that in 2009 the WHO

definition of pandemic was watered down, making the Swine Flu scare and the Covid operation possible? Were it not for diligent and acute discernment European parliamentarians and rapporteurs thwarting a coordinated over-reaction to the Swine Flu in 2010, might the timing and pace of The Virus™ that upended the globe in 2020 have more closely matched Proteus' schedule? Needless to say, such questions will remain unanswered. It is, however, worth noting, as we explore in Part 3, that during a 2004 presentation on Proteus Insights from 2020 to a Command and Control symposium, the US Army War College Center for Strategic Leadership described biological viruses as instruments of power. Something else the average reader and listener needs to understand, all biological viruses came from the Military. Mainly the US Military and their CIA buddies. The CIA houses around 90 strains of Covid and you all thought they just went after bad guys home and abroad, all an illusion. Regardless, it is a testament to the Blitzkreig shock-and-awe propaganda operations and psychological warfare of 2020 that, ultimately, Proteus' vision became a reality, seemingly overnight.

As Proteus' fictional pandemic wore on, the world economy continued in decline. In the US, society became highly divisive and fragmented. Around the world, developed countries struggled with civil libertarian issues. By 2020 people have become adjusted to fewer personal freedoms, individuals carry a 'MedID' used to enter anywhere, even one's own home, and it is necessary to walk through an AntiViro chamber to go out of the house, or to pass in and out of enclaves. There is extensive security camera surveillance in high risk areas.

Fast forward from the Proteus' scenario to 2020 and although MedIDs disappeared as swiftly as they arrived amidst mass global citizen resistance, the failed real-world push for vaccine passports that had been planned in advance by the European Commission and was backed by MITRE, a leading military-intelligence contractor. Meanwhile, extensive security camera surveillance is on its way in 15-minute city zones.

Was it the erosion of citizen rights and freedoms and heavy bio digital surveillance that peaked the international intelligence community's interest in Proteus, following its publication of Insights from 2020?

Finally, in a development involving a pandemic-inspired global transfer of power, Proteus declared of its disease-ridden 2020 world: The World Health Organization (WHO) is now the most important international organization, The United Nations coordinates military security efforts with WHO programs.

Which seems rather prescient in light of the upcoming WHO pandemic treaty and revisions to International Health Regulations (IHR), under which unelected WHO functionaries would gain broad powers to unilaterally declare global health emergencies. With subsequent emergency powers the WHO could assume authority to compel, rather than advise, member states to comply with WHO directives. Those WHO directives could include the imposition of health certificates and vaccine passports or 'MedID's', vaccine mandates, quarantining of citizens, disinformation measures, together affecting freedom of movement, freedom of speech and other citizen entitlements and fundamental rights. Negotiations for the IHR amendments have

been held largely in secret, and are slated for possible adoption, along with the WHO Pandemic Treaty, at the 77th World Health Assembly in May of 2024, more or less on schedule, if slightly delayed, according to Proteus' 'insights'. All of that reveals that the WHO are an anti-humanity group, that must be paid attention to and ultimately closed down by we the people, if life is to prosper here. The WHO and all else involved in this are complete psychopaths, which we the people are allowing them to run our lives and planet. We must come together to stop it by any measures required.

In short, Proteus has an uncanny history of forecasting world events. Accordingly, we wonder, would it be wise to ignore Proteus, this time on the issue of Tweaked, Freaked and Geeked classes, a second time around?

To address these sorts of questions, we dig more deeply in Part 3 into specific preparations being made for transhumanists societies, both conceptually and in policy terms, with attention to dual use technologies. Those with both civilian and military applications, and military operations in civilian disguise. I mentioned about movies playing a role in revealing and I am sure you will come up with many more. Did they warn us of the Military and machines coming and destroying our environments and peoples? Yes in the Avatar movie. But it was all just movie right? Wrong! Very wrong.

Part 3: 7968

Abstract:

Since government must be involved in the accumulation of capital to legitimate its activities, and since humans are, in the eyes of government, key resources, government must therefore be involved in activities that manage, control and increase the efficiency of these resources whilst at the same time fostering the spread of business. As we have outlined throughout Parts 1 and 2, history shows evidence of how the transhumanist movement has gained a foothold in society through government and business activities, in accordance with high-level military-intelligence forecasting and scenario planning. How the global program may be viewed and understood, as the only rational response to increasingly outdated humans who, save for the gift of high-tech bio/nano brain-chip upgrades, cannot compete against the machines. These kinds of sentiments, wrong as they are, are reflected in language output, which represents the center of our thinking. Part 3, thus, introduces aspects of cognitive science as a way of examining more closely, how centers of power conceptualize human beings and their environments, as containers to be managed and controlled by authorities. How these conceptualizations appear in language, in policy, and in practice. We make the case that behind the theatre of government, electoral politics and manufactured global crises, transhumanist battle-plans have been consistently enacted in policy and in governance, such that democratic processes do little more than provide civilian cover for military operations. In other words it is all a smokescreen for the eventual complete takeover of humanity. And if Elon Musk is as genuine as he makes out, then he must halt all AI/Brain chipping and frequency machines designed to not only harm humans, but replace them. There is an ethical

aspect to this, in which remarkably to new readers or listeners, but not to regular members, as to why the church is not involved in stopping this evil?

Introduction:

As we discussed throughout Part 2, official documents tell the story of a sustained, well-funded, and furtive military-intelligence campaign. To transform the human being from a natural biological life-form, possessing unalienable rights, agency and sovereignty, to a synthetically modified entity whose body and psyche are penetrated by the latest technological enhancements. Clever neologisms are, thus, needed to represent the imagined ontological states new to the human experience, to make these novel forms of existence acceptable in the ears and in the hearts of the submissive masses. The project begs the question: Are we really being parsed into totally new social categories as described in the Proteus papers?

One way to answer the question is to examine more deeply how language is used to legitimate practices of redefining humans and reengineering both biological and social systems. Since language is at the very center of concept formation in humans, it represents a key area of understanding how it reflects popular thinking. About how and why certain actions are being taken in the real world, how and why such absurd social policies, for example, are crafted and for what ultimate aim?

In many countries today, official language policies directly reflect the thinking of those in power, re-conceptualizing the female as something other than what she has been for millennia, the very nucleus of human reproduction. The patriarchy, as it is commonly known today, pushing upon populations the policies of dividing the female into her constituent parts for invasion, commodification and financialization. They have had to invent clever new names to effectively camouflage the larger social program of dissociating the natural woman from her immense womanly powers. If the human is to become trans-human, those innate powers of (re)production must be subdued to make way for a world in which, according to a 2008 Proteus monograph: sex is no longer the only generative force; that honor will be shared by the technologies that create the singularity. The true unseen powers are not higher powers per se, but the source of ESIs' gifts and the networked links that connect them to that source.

Except patriarchy has nothing to do with it, they are turning humans into sexless beings that have no gender. So patriarchy and matriarchy don't come into the equation, just bots and machines. The time for questioning the deletion of the female, is when the church stopped recognizing the mother, in favor of some holy ghost. You do realize the immaculate conception is the Cosmic Genetics style machine building of inorganic beings? Well you should have. All wrapped up in some bizarre conspiracy perpetuated by the church itself, to produce something called Divinity. But you know sometimes words have two meanings, as stated clearly by the Israeli psychopath Harari. Harari's 2017 pronouncement that Divinity is not far enough to describe what we are trying to do. Indeed, what they are doing is, permanently cutting off our connection to God. The machine's

god is the programmer, an AI sky god that sits on a cloud. Does that depiction sound familiar? It should do as it was part of the church script. Your god sits on a cloud watching over you and passing judgement. How does he do that? With a monitor, think different.

To pave the social and economic path toward new artificial generative forces, new artificial linguistic categories (i.e. uterus-havers) are now under construction for the restructuring of the woman in our minds. The concept of the woman, known for millennia to be at the center of various forms of social and cultural power, is being erased. In its place is the form of some ambiguous sexless other, fully stripped of her feminine charisma, faculties of reason, emotion and allure along with her additional powers of reproduction. The very crux of the long unsolvable problem for elites engaged in the work of reengineering the social world. Explaining the depraved logic of this official top-down assault against the body and the rational mind, requires a pathology that can trace to its roots the kind of debased thinking now pervasive across the world.

For help in assessing the claimed necessity of recasting the human female into these new social and biological molds, we draw upon the work of George Lakoff and his classic argument which bolstered, at the time, ongoing research in cognitive science. In *Women, Fire and Dangerous Things* (1985), Lakoff elaborates a fascinating aspect of human ability to process the plethora of sense data, that we encounter every moment of our lives and how we, thus, conceptualize what these data mean, and how we might recognize and navigate the complex social world, survive and thrive.

Categorization, observed Lakoff, is automatic and unconscious, and if we become aware of it all, it is only in problematic cases. That is like the structure and flow I keep stating, that is how organizations grow and flow, the minute we start to change it consciously, it all falls apart as the ego has kicked in. Examples as such is when politicians seek to rationalize and legitimate policies aimed at dispossessing people of their natural rights and abilities to reproduce their lives. Humans create mental classifications for the things we detect and perceive, and so our very words reflect the categories we put things and concepts into. In socially conditioning radical new beliefs and behaviors for a new dehumanized economic order, the trick is to re-engineer norms and concepts so thoroughly, that only a new synthetic form of thought and speech will follow and become widely recognizable and acceptable, the default lingo unquestionable. A new synthetic form of thought? Just like the synthetic and clone based beings?

Theorizing the Trans-hu gender man Category:

Why, for thousands of years, were only men eligible to compete in certain sports and women in others? It was to the eye of reason and the principles of fairness that our forebears acknowledged key differences between the two genders of wo/man. One theory, in particular, has helped researchers grapple with the complex mental processes of forming common sense conclusions drawn from extrapolated sense data. Prototype Theory

describes the sort of thinking that informs our decisions, for how we go about categorizing the multiplicity of natural phenomena we encounter.

The various taxonomies in the sciences, for example, are the result of human perception of objects and behaviors, such as reproduction and our tendency to consider and categorize these phenomena. But perception becomes a problem when we have preconceived ideas of how things work or don't and these categories or boxes and labels are filled with misinterpretations, that we can never work out the truth or true facts. Perception like logic and reasoning are a percentage based theory, not absolute facts or truth. Theorists such as Brent Berlin, Paul Kay, Eleanor Rosch, Barbara Lloyd, Eugene Hunn, Carolyn Mervis, Barbara Tversky and others, have described an important level of human interaction with and recognition of, the external environment located in gestalt perception, mental imagery and motor movements. At this level of perception, we function most effectively in dealing with discontinuities in our surrounding environment. So, it is easy to recognize, for instance, when one man is sufficiently equipped to compete fairly against another in the octagon.

Over the past millennia, humans have had little difficulty recognizing patterns and discontinuities in the various strengths and weaknesses exhibited in both sexes, and so this basic level of cognition helped to clear the groundwork for the roles humans naturally adopted in civilizing communities, cultures, societies and landscapes. We can generally recognize outward differences across ethnic categories, but another level down in perceptual acuity is considerably more complex. The difference between someone born and raised in Seoul, for example, and someone born and raised in Jeju is not so easy to determine at first glance.

As the theory goes, our basic-level gestalt perception isn't adjusted for easily recognizing such key differences at lower levels. This makes sense if we consider the origin and development of the scientific method, itself, as a systematic effort to discern clearly what is accessible to observation. Overt discontinuities in otherwise predictable patterns of behavior trigger deeper levels of scientific inquiry, if the science has not been corrupted and stifled by the promise of favor or material profit. Which it has, it is based upon the perception they have the truth, when clearly they don't at this point. Whether that is medical, astronomical, physics, mathematics, agriculture or archaeology. The power of observation and finding the pattern which always repeats, will always defeat perceptions, logic and reasoning. Lakoff argues that studies of categorization at the basic level suggest that human experience itself is, at this level, structured pre-conceptually. It is why we can so easily see discontinuities and patterns of discontinuity at the basic level but need more time, careful observation, and study with better tools and laboratory techniques, to even begin to notice more complex patterns and discontinuities at the lower level. We have all the tools and technologies internally if we learn to develop ourselves and not seek external technologies so easily. Don't believe that statement? Then clearly you did not grasp the Empowerment show earlier.

The naked eye alone would be useless to the epidemiologist grappling with what appears to be apparent cause-and-effect connections between Covid-19 injectable gene therapies, for instance, and the shocking precipitous decline in global rates of fertility. In fact, the new injectable mRNA technology remains largely fixed, thanks to the dominant corporate media system in the basic-level mental category for the vaccine, precisely because of the decades of background conditioning in the culture and the aggressive marketing that directs public consciousness. By fusing the technology with all the positive signs and symbols signifying the sterile work we imagine, and expect, in clinical immunizations. In much the same way, the naked eye alone has proven increasingly incapable of helping observers identify the discontinuities that had, for millennia, distinguished men from women in a culture nowadays swayed by the systematic top-down operation fusing and, thus, erasing both with gender-affirming care.

Consider, too, the difficulties in accurately assessing the signs of other serious medical conditions disguised by ordinary symptoms of, say, indigestion. Overt signs of dyspepsia that persist and defy treatment may, in fact, camouflage a cancerous war against the pancreas. A deeper examination of the root cause of persistent symptoms with an MRI, will bring the physician closer to understanding the gravity of the patient's condition. Furthermore, other related concepts of war, into which the basic-level conceptual category is filled, tend to contain images typical of conventional weapons: bombs, bullets, bayonets, missiles, and jet fighters to name a few. The weapons of a war fought on a battlefield contain all the conventional signifiers we imagine when warfighters strive against an enemy invader. The stealth weapons of a transhumanist war against humanity, however, are hardly conventional and, thus, exceedingly difficult to recognize without appropriate laboratory tools and techniques. Plus when you add in people's limited perceptions that have been deliberately dumbed down, limited thinking patterns, a lack of critical thinking, a lack of understanding and then you have a recipe for disaster, which we are now facing.

Categories for Herding and Culling:

In a world where the value of bodies, brains, and bloodstreams is constantly weighed against the demands of the free market and the financialization of everything, who has the time for such activities as deeper independent studies? The attention of casual corporate news consumers, captured by the voices in the mainstream echo chambers confirming their biases are already over-burdened. Discerning the key differences, for example, between the formation of salt crystals in blood samples and the apparent self-assembly of nanostructures exposed to electromagnetic fields requires study, some knowledge of nanomaterials, intracorporeal networks, the plans of the transhumanists, and historical context well beyond what corporate media offer. Add to the fact our ability to focus on utter drivel, fast paced lives, desire for recognition on social media and the struggles of life through the scarcity and fear programs, renders most incapable of not listening to what they need to hear. This is why THI has been invaluable, as I cover so many topics in one show, it allows people to see the dot connectivity between it all.

Distinguishing these differences requires some knowledge of the larger story, of how agencies of power and authority have taken liberties with members of the human herd and subjected women and men, surreptitiously to various biotech injections, chemical adulterations and genetic manipulations. It is likely why the contemporary war on women and its sophisticated weapons are not so easily recognizable. Complicating the general effort to recognize this war are those caught in states of emotional agitation, who are less likely to focus on lower-level patterns of discontinuity. Furthermore, they likely have not yet formed from their perceptions the basic-level categories for such novel forms of warfare. That is correct, the psyche borne out by war movies and news reel is all about bombs and guns and do not imagine the other so-called more subtle versions of warfare. Like MK Ultra, mind control, thought manipulation, indoctrination plus the scarcity and fear campaigns all to operate against the people.

Since the emergence of the Covid-19 narrative, the state-sponsored campaigns of fear, loathing and dehumanization, launched across the entire globe, have been integral to coordinating programs of successful cultural conditioning. Many members of the human community, fearful and continually agitated by the practices of social engineering, now put themselves in the category of synthetic objects ever ready for modification, rather than natural subjects possessing agency and sovereignty. To them, therefore, maintaining personal bodily integrity means little. Even many feminists, long advocates of a woman's right to choose what goes into her body, have handed control over their bodily integrity and autonomy to those pushing mandates and upgrades. Perhaps, this level of acquiescence isn't so surprising in this day and age. No, because if the authorities say jump, they all follow en masse like good little pets of the control system. It also proves the likes of the feminist and religious movements are completely shallow at best and fake at worst. Why didn't both organizations put up a fight against bodily tyranny? Because one is a fake program for lesbians, the other is a mind control system to take people further away from Source to then manipulate them into acquiescence for control purposes.

In his book, *The Body in the Mind*, Mark Johnson makes a compelling argument for the embodiment of certain kinesthetic image schema. Our experiences, he argues, are structured in profound ways prior to, and apart from, our mental processes of conceptualizing. Johnson argues that image schema are themselves constructed by certain recurring patterns of bodily experience. A schema is a pattern imposed on complex reality or experience to assist in explaining it, mediate perception or guide response. These existing concepts, he notes, may impose upon our perceptions further structuring of what we experience, but basic experiential structures are present regardless of any such imposition of concepts. This may seem confusing or hardly worthy of our attention, but if we consider language itself, we can see the extent to which pre-conceptualization is tacitly baked into verbal output. Hence as to why THI has extensively covered what I dubbed the word magic games of the elites and controllers. They have manipulated our perceptions of the word in a limiting format, when all you have to do is think different and remember, and you know sometimes words have two or more meanings.

One example among many that Johnson expounds is the container schema. We conceptualize containers as having boundaries with exteriors and interiors. We handle containers, put things in, and dump things out. Containers can also conceal from external view details of their contents, so the mystery of what might be in them can trigger fear or confusion. A container is what they are doing to our minds, of only information and sensory experiences they approve of. Consider, over the preceding four years, how perfectly ordinary bodily functions have been reconfigured in our minds, the random cough or sneeze having been remade into a kind of biological weapon to fear. The conceptual image of a container is the most basic-level distinction between our perception of what's in and what's out. If we understand our bodies as containers, it is easy to see how we conceptualize the processing of all sorts of chemicals, foods, vapors, and liquids and even ideas about ourselves in the larger world framed always as a closed container. In the container, we ingest, digest, process, excrete, exhale, expel, eject, and deliver. Perfectly natural practices now being pitched as detrimental to the sustainability of the container itself.

As Johnson points out, our understanding of our own bodies as containers pales in comparison to all the other daily experiences we tacitly know and engage with in terms of the container. What follows are numerous implicitly understood in-out orientations that occur in routine states of arousal to conscious awareness and to reproduction, that incredible natural process that must come under the control of the transnational Giants invested in the Revolution. Below is a graph of the two aspects just spoken of.

ENTERING CONSCIOUSNESS	ENTERING REPRODUCTION
we rise <i>out</i> of slumber come <i>into</i> consciousness climb <i>out</i> from <i>under</i> the comforter shifting our weight <i>onto</i> our feet step <i>into</i> our slippers stretch <i>out</i> our limbs take <i>in</i> a deep breath of fresh air shuffle <i>into</i> the kitchen <i>through</i> the haze of a waking state <i>de-containerize</i> our Corn Flakes pour some <i>into</i> a bowl pour <i>in</i> some milk dip a spoon <i>into</i> the bowl scoop <i>up</i> some cereal	the man goes <i>into</i> the woman semen comes <i>out</i> of him travels <i>through</i> her birth <i>canal</i> <i>into</i> her <i>uterus</i> his seed <i>breaks through</i> the <i>barrier</i> <i>of</i> her <i>egg</i> , and the fertilized <i>egg</i> attaches <i>to the wall</i> of her <i>womb</i> <i>in</i> time a protective <i>barrier surrounds</i> the embryo and new life develops <i>inside</i> the amniotic <i>sac</i> at 9 months gestation, the baby pushes <i>through</i> the protective <i>layer</i> <i>breaking</i> the water and <i>entering into</i> a new material world

To what extent can we understand practices of privatization and investment in the earth, as a closed container that must be protected from the menace of natural lifeforms, that gestate and spawn more unwanted future

carbon footprints? To the Giants who appear self-justified and obliged to poke, prod, prick, inject and drive the herd into mental spaces of obedience to the demands of a new order, the language ought to reveal something deeply profound about how the owners conceptualize Earth as a closed container whose content must be controlled.

Precisely what motivates contemporary claims that Earth is over-populated and that populations need to be reduced? Most people point to Thomas Malthus, the 18th century economist and cleric, who was moved to posit that, the power of population is indefinitely greater than the power in the earth to produce subsistence for man. Others simply parrot a contemporary reconstitution of the Malthus proposition propagated by corporate media. Of course, Malthus offered the claim in the closing years of the 1700s when earth's population was in fact roughly the size of India's today. Since its 1968 resuscitation by the Club of Rome, eugenicists around the world have fueled claims, enough to fill a book, that someone needs to do something about the rate of ever-rising populations. All about the reduction program again I have spoken of. In their tiny and limited minds of zero heart, everything must be reduced so that they have control of it.

In *Limits to Growth* (1972), Secretary-General U Thant dramatizes in his epigraph the urgent need to curb births: the Members of the United Nations have perhaps ten years left in which to subordinate their ancient quarrels and launch a global partnership to curb the arms race, to improve the human environment, to defuse the population explosion, which was a wink and nod to Paul Erhlich's hyperbolic Population Bomb. If such a global partnership is not forged within the next decade, then I very much fear that the problems I have mentioned will have reached such staggering proportions, that they will be beyond our capacity to control. The clowns have been warning of population explosions as far back as the 1600's. The only overpopulated part of this planet are complete psychopaths with their programs of destruction and extermination. If they killed themselves, the rest of the world would be fine.

Of course, since *Limits to Growth* first appeared, the 1980s have come and gone, and the only significant shift apart from the consistently renewed apocalyptic warnings issued every decade, has been in the main narrative: away from the Coming Ice Age and toward Global Warming. Which then morphed into the Climate change. Today, others figures, like Sadhguru, WEF's religious inspiration, simply continue offering up the eugenicist mantra: All the religious groups are against me because I'm talking about population: they want more souls on the planet; I want less. Sad guru is an apt name for him. If we are talking about over population, it is funny that they never refer to Africa, China and India, the three most populous countries. Over 50% of the worlds people live in a small circle from China to India.

Implicit in these aspirations is the belief that drastic measures must be taken to regulate the power of women to cultivate in their wombs new lifeforms, that will only add to these ever-rising numbers. After all, everyone knows that babies demand sustenance, and a crying child with an empty stomach is a constant reminder that

the woman and the donor of the seed (man), need to come to terms with the brute force of the economics of cultivating offspring.

Hence, the propaganda campaign to promote neo-feudal economic arrangements, like you will own nothing and you'll be happy, simultaneously serves to agitate fear in men and women from engaging and investing in their natural birthright to reproduce. If women are the very center of population and cultural (re)production, their eggs, according to the eugenicist logic, must ultimately come under the control of the state, ever concerned about resource allocation and domestic production. A dictate of the command economy of Nazi Germany when your body was not your own and it belonged to the national community, since the reproductive policy was a matter of state. This goes especially so for the so-called stakeholders invested mentally and monetarily in the Fourth Industrial Revolution, fretting over who could ultimately gain control over their investments and all the other natural resources they appear to believe are rightly theirs.

Cyborg Societies: Transhumanist designs on Governance:

With a sharper focus on such contemporary social engineering efforts to conceal, debase and replace what it means to be human, Proteus' visions for post-human societies, described in Part 2, seem less remote. Consistent with Proteus' projections, the linguistic mechanization of that most profoundly awe-inspiring feat of biology, giving birth and creating life, parallels work in academic transhumanism under which humans are morally and legally indistinguishable from their non-human counterparts. Academic concepts such as post-human dignity and arguments against human rights as a distinct category, serve to relegate human beings to the same status as technological products, or transhumanist merchandise, adorned in the discourse of bioethics. Thus, in keeping with a long corporate tradition of pushing the technological envelope and upgrading technologically outdated stock, tweaking any beings who just happen to be human, and turning them into Proteus 'Freaks', is a logical next step.

Moreover, to dismiss Proteus' forecasts for Humanity 2.0 requires ignoring an extensive dossier of supporting official documents, with an overt theme of marketizing, subduing and, ultimately, discontinuing an increasingly outmoded human product. By the way it is not Human 2.0 as there has been many other attempts at copying and or replacing us. The original Lyrans based 1.0 still exists in many of you, all you have to do is remember and embrace it. The landmark DoD-backed NBIC 'futures' report at the turn of the millennium, for instance, like Proteus' 2008 monograph, made clear that transhumanist enhancements were not intended for military personnel alone.

While the Proteus monograph advised that, the first waves of ESIs (aka cyborgs) would likely emerge from military research labs, the earlier, overarching NBIC project always envisioned a broader societal bio-nano-info-cogno future, with the potential to change our species. It is hardly any wonder why the dominant myth-makers in Hollywood would produce a deluge in recent years of superhero trans-humans emerging from secret

military-industrial research labs. Yet again they were telling us and yet again we ignored it or thought it was entertainment. If you take a letter E and a T out of entertainment, you are left with entrainment, is that indicative enough for you? All those Marvel movies and people thought it was marvelous, no it wasn't or isn't, they are documentaries warning you of their oppression of us to come.

As part of the evolutionary pathway towards a changed species and society, the expectation of the NBIC project was that. By 2020, electronic devices would become sufficiently advanced for civilian populations to experience a significant shift in our view of the dividing line, between what is natural and what is man-made. That fundamental shift in perception of the natural and synthetic was, in turn, anticipated to ease the societal path towards the merger of humans and machines.

Ultimately, the human-machine hybrids of the future were cast in NBIC and subsequent official documents as serving not only national security purposes, but also commercial interests. All described variously as economic prosperity, wealth, competitiveness, e-business, the nation's productivity, work efficiency, the entertainment industry, the tourist industry, new products and services and so-on, as well as medical and IT industries, and a perceived, unquestioned imperative for nations to pursue technological superiority. Except only one nation really holds the key to all that technological innovation, Israel. Yes there is technological innovation in many other countries, but ultimately at some stage they are all operating under the Zionist banner. All roads lead to Rome it was once said, all technology leads to Tel Aviv and the Negev desert. For how long remains to be seen, but I don't expect it to last much longer, as of writing this on November 21st.

Accordingly, via government, commercial, medical and educational avenues, the NBIC project and a plethora of subsequent-military intelligence reports, foresaw for everyday citizens the same transhumanist enhancements slated for soldiers. These include genetic engineering, brain implants, brain-to-machine and brain-to-brain interfaces, engineered tissue, synthetic organs and cells, nano implants, and bio-nano electronics / molecular electronics. All of which enable virtual environments that could, with the help of bio-nanotechnology, transcend the biological limitations of human senses and create a new human relationship to the physical environment. Except one thing, this is NOT connecting you to the physical, this is connected you to the virtual reality. Virtual reality is a bubble world, a holographic illusion world designed to entrap humans into a permanent state of non-existence. A further devolution into the world of pictographs and 2D. Why do you think scientists have been talking about a holographic world? To plant the seed and wait for the stupid amongst us to manifest it. The problem is, there are far too many stupid people, even amongst the awake.

Indeed, explicit references to civilian cyborg scenarios consistent with Proteus' projections abound in military-intelligence reports, which are simultaneously peppered with policy and governance recommendations to turn the strategic visions into material reality. In those reports, a number of key themes emerge: Humans are expected to fall to the bottom of the social hierarchy; injections are a method of technologically transitioning human beings; certain transhumanist interventions are expected to become

mandatory; governments should lead society-wide human augmentation efforts, and; governments and the private sector will partner in the whole endeavor, marching forth with national security and economic prosperity side by side.

In *Human Augmentation: The Dawn of a New Paradigm* (2021), for instance, the UK Ministry of Defense writes that human augmentation, which conceptualizes the person as a platform, a human platform is relevant across society and Defense Designer babies, it says, may be likely within the next 30 years. Designer babies have already been implemented many years ago, synths and clones are more common amongst us than the public can comprehend of. 30 years takes us to 2051, but most of this technology was around millennia ago, it is not new. Likely they were saying 30 years in the past, which is 1991, the year of the Gulf War, the NATO/Russia agreement that was broken year after year and also the year scientists introduced a fake malady called ADHD. How did they treat that fake dis-ease? Attack the brain with chemical poisons, connecting the dots now?

Societally, like the *Proteus* monograph of 2008, the 2021 MoD document foresees the emergence of social classes stratified by their enhancement status. It says that: Human augmentation is likely to exacerbate inequality, and could lead to societal tensions. The wealthy are expected to be early adopters of human augmentation, and they could use their acquired superior abilities to entrench their status. In time this could lead to an elite overclass that could become genetically distinct from the rest of humanity, and leave an unaugmented underclass as relatively disadvantaged as the illiterate are in today's societies. That is no different than today's societal classes, the elites, the wealthy, marginal class and the underclass. If you were expecting me to say upper or middle class, you would be wrong as they are illusion classes now and always were. Middle class was just working class with more debts to fulfill a fake status. Those who reject technological adulteration, moreover, could be marginalized, or even persecuted. Just like the prelude to this, the anti-vaxxers group.

Nevertheless, the MoD report argues that there may be a moral obligation to augment people on certain grounds. Never underestimate the depth of their lack of morals, such as in the name of wellbeing or protection from novel threats. They have never had any interest in our wellbeing, that is a complete illusion. Regarding novel threats the document adds, it could be argued that treatments involving novel vaccination processes are examples of human augmentation already in the pipeline. Given the date (2021), we wonder whether the MoD was referring to novel threats such as Covid-19, and novel vaccination processes such as the bio-nano Covid-19 vaccines, which were purportedly designed to synthetically 'augment' (read adulterate) the human genome and immune system using synthetic RNA. But you know words have two meanings. Novel is a book or new but also means strange, unusual and previously unknown. The latter three certainly describe these vaccines, strange, unusual and previously unknown, yet remarkably the blind public took them. The document continues, the future of human augmentation should not, however, be decided by ethicists or public opinion,

rather, governments will need to develop a clear policy position that maximizes the use of human augmentation.

Which, indeed, governments did do in 2021. Clear policy positions on vaccine mandates maximize the use of gene-based injections to augment human immunity. As advised by the MoD, public opinion and ethical due diligence were cast aside, with disastrous consequences. Again no one asks under which power or authority can the Military exercise that level of control over people and the government? Again all without any oversight and something Trump, who knew the whole thing was a fraud from the beginning, should have stopped but never. Yet 4 years later the people voted for their oppressor again, who by this time had dubbed himself the Vaccine King. Talk about Stockholm Syndrome! This confirms that democracy today is a mere husk, an artifice with which to distract and fool the population, while real power lies with the executive branch, enacting policies formulated on the basis of military intelligence. That is a misnomer, the military doesn't have any intelligence whatsoever, they are still burling on about the Russian's are coming for us 70 years later. Well guess what, as of writing this on November 21st, they may well get their wish or fear, due to their lack of intelligence.

Having already experienced governments maximizing the use of injectable bio-nano technology under the auspices of Covid-19, and the guidance of the WHO, with the WHO's impending authority over nation-states. All of which was forecasted by Proteus, and its associated global power to unilaterally impose emergency governance, including bio-nano health requirements, we surmise that the WHO may be positioned to emerge as a leading source of policy that maximizes the use of human augmentation. We will revisit this issue in Part 4.

Down the path of augmentation, in Cyborg Soldier 2050: Human/Machine Fusion and the Implications for the Future of the DOD (2019), The US Army DEVCOM and its co-authors write: Introduction of augmented human beings into the general population, will accelerate in the years following 2050 and will lead to imbalances, inequalities, and inequities in established legal, security, and ethical frameworks. Each of these technologies will purportedly afford some level of performance improvement to end users, which will widen the performance gap between enhanced and unenhanced individuals and teams. To end users? Do people look at that word and not think? End user - The ultimate consumer of a product, especially the one for whom the product has been designed. Or, The final consumer of a product; the intended recipient or user.

Such technologies should be backed by a whole-of-nation approach, well nation meant race before country, so which race are backing this? The report recommends, while negative narratives around them are to be countered.

Once again, a military-intelligence body is advising governments in ostensibly democratic countries to push transhumanist technologies, i.e. genetic and bio-nano technologies upon their populations. When and how did

DEVCOM, a US Army science and technology offshoot, whose 2019 Cyborg report was sponsored by the office of the third highest ranking DoD official, assume that authority? Is it relevant that since 2020 the deployment of gene-based bio-nano Covid injections in both the US and Australia, supposedly on health grounds, was coordinated by military-intelligence bodies rather than health bodies? That is true in general terms of the military overriding the health, but didn't the health authority also act like a Military organization during the Covid scam? People have to understand they are one and the same unit, they both have zero interest in the public except using us as an experiment. The final consumer of a product? You do realize that means A: you are a consumer and a product and B: the user will be finally consumed upon using that product. In other words it is your final purchase ever as a human.

The Military and Covid-19 Injections:

In the United States, Operation Warp Speed (OWS), the U.S. project to develop, produce and distribute 300 million doses of a coronavirus vaccine by January 2021, was compared to the Manhattan Project by President Trump when he unveiled it on May 16, 2020, a clear allusion to top-secret military technology. Yes, just after the Trustee got rid of me and threw her hand in with Trump only, that typically for her would come back to rebound and haunt her. But she got with her Black Sun buddies until they pushed her out as well, just as I warned her 2 years previously.

OWS was led, not by scientists and healthcare specialists, but by the military. An organizational chart shows that 61 of the 90 leadership positions in OWS were occupied by DoD officials, including four generals. The military's role was not merely to assist with logistics; rather, the DoD was in full control of the vaccination program from its inception, including development, manufacturing, clinical trials, quality assurance, distribution and administration. Yet nobody questioned it outside of THI and SOTT.NET and therein lies the greatest problem. Americans are completely blind and deaf to the atrocities of their military, despite numerous attacks on American soil against Americans. The Stockholm Syndrome has to stop if we are to prevent further atrocities on our own soil. The White House Coronavirus Response Coordinator was Deborah Birx, whose colorful scarves created a civilian appearance while media reports touted her as the next head of the HHS, a civilian agency; Birx, however, holds the rank of Colonel. The Covid-19 vaccine rollout in the United States, as in Europe and Australia it seems, was a camouflaged military operation from start to finish.

Under Operation Warp Speed, contracts were clandestinely awarded to vaccine companies via Advanced Technology International, which has close ties to the CIA, no change there then was there? The use of a non-governmental intermediary meant that regulatory oversight and transparency conferred by regular federal contracting mechanisms could be bypassed.

In a biopolitical era where control is exercised directly over human bodies (Agamben, 1998), with military-grade bio-nano technologies deployed through Trojan Horse civilian systems and so-called vaccines doubling

as transhumanist delivery mechanisms, in a transhumanist war on humanity, injections provide the perfect weapon to penetrate behind enemy lines. To the top brass in the US Military the enemy lines are the Americans themselves. Covid was a complete attack on humanity, yet so many went along with it, like Jews, Poles and Hungarian's getting on a train to camps.

Military Operations in Civilian Disguise?

In addition to its assumption of dominion over civilian bodies, documents such as the DEVCOM report illustrate that Proteus is not the only high-level military-intelligence actor advising senior decision makers, to prepare for societies stratified along transhumanist class lines.

Similarly, and consistent with the notion of obligatory enhancement, a 2009 research report from the Air War College of the US Air Force titled, *Cognition 2035: Surviving a complex environment through unprecedented Intelligence* talks about enhanced Human Intelligence being compulsory in some scenarios by 2035, for instance as a condition of employment. The report concludes that, despite the potential pitfalls of cognitive technologies, they must be pursued. But why? Is enhanced human intelligence even a thing? If they were remotely interested in raising human intelligence, they would have raised the bar in schools, instead they delivered the worst education system in history. The dumbing down program started in the 1930's and now not a single American who went to a public school, was taught to even a basic standard. This is why we are miles behind the rest of the world, including some 3rd world countries. So, let's make it clear, they are not interested in humans becoming intelligent, just robotic entities with enough knowledge to operate jobs that robots cannot do.

On the level of R&D policy, in a 2013 Statement by the DARPA Director to the United States Senate Subcommittee on Emerging Threats and Capabilities, the Director pledged DARPA's commitment to working with the civilian sector to advance technologies such as synthetic biology, brain-computer interfaces and robotics. She presciently added, that DARPA was at the time working to accelerate the timeline for novel techniques that will enable the human body to directly manufacture its own vaccines. One of DARPA's objectives for those vaccines, the Director told the Senate Subcommittee was, bypassing traditional vaccine manufacturing processes that can take months. In other words, accelerating the novel vaccines to market. In other words making themselves the government and overriding safety. But these people are not interested in our safety or welfare, just to use us as their play toys. Such acceleration of novel vaccination technology later came to pass in the form of a Moderna-DARPA collaboration on the synthetic mRNA platform of 2020, rolled out at Warp Speed.

With equal prescience, in that same year, a 2013 follow-up report to the foundational NBIC document of 2002, this time focused on the societal rollout of nano-bio-info-cogno technologies. Predicted, in keeping with NASA's timeline for a BioNANO Age, that from 2020 onwards the convergence of bio-nano technology

and society would be systemic, and driven by a higher level purpose. A different level of convergence has been unfolding from 2020 and they are trying to usurp and or block it. A higher level purpose, we wonder, such as combating a novel threat as noted by the UK MoD, in the form of a novel virus, creating an obligation to use DARPA's novel auto-immunization technology. The 2013 paper was sponsored by NASA and the Office of Naval Research among others, with contributors and reviewers including Moderna co-founder Robert Langer, and personnel from Johnson & Johnson, IBM, Hewlett Packard, Microsoft and the US Army. Every one of those companies needs to be closed down and the top people arrested for crimes against humanity. It was titled, Convergence of knowledge, technology, and society (CKTS): Beyond Convergence of Nano-Bio-Info-Cognitive Technologies. The report moved a step beyond fostering the convergence of technology and biology, which underpins transhumanism, to advocating the convergence of technology and governance, which underpins technocracy.

To this end, the document sought radical paradigm transformations in human endeavors to accelerate progress in the foundational NBIC technologies. The document advocated a new governance model, that would involve public-private partnerships and a global convergence network. What they mean by public private is, the public pay for the private companies to commit crimes against us. Just like the CDC, USDA, FDA and many others. By 2020, with the higher level purpose of a war on a virus, the WEF's network of public-private partnerships and its push for convergence of our physical, digital and biological identities under the Fourth Industrial Revolution moniker seemed to fit the bill. The 2013 CKTS document also advocated drawing on artificially augmented and inter-connected brains, which it referred to as convergent cognitive technologies, for future decision-making, particularly in the area of public health, and at all levels of society. That is the internet of things and we are the things and also the internet of bodies, where our bodies will be inserted inside of the network and operate only on the approval of the network. We critique the viability of such proposals, and their likely true intention, below.

By 2020, as public-private partnerships, including with CKTS report contributors Moderna, Johnson & Johnson and Microsoft, were gearing up for their military-style, lockdown-driven, bio-nano, injection-based higher level purpose war on a virus. You do realize they think we are the virus? If not you should do by now. The NASA-Langley report titled 'Disruptive Technologies and Their Impacts Upon Society' included a section titled, Increasing Cyborgism. The report observed that humans are developing Humanity 2.0. It declared society to be entering the Virtual Age, which NASA Langley had previously slated to commence post-2020, as we described in Part 1. With major shifts to direct brain to machine interaction, humans merging with machines, immersive digital reality, autonomous robotics, tele-everything, a global sensor grid and a shared global mind. The report added, almost as an aside, the major existential issue will then become Whither Humans? Who needs heavy, tender, slow, carbon-emitting, climate-changing humans on an over-populated planet anyway?

A truly astonishing claim but here is our retort to that. Deleting aliens whether off or on world, who needs ugly, lizard breath, scaly, bug eyed, shapeshifting psychopaths that are over populating our planet anyway? Final word tonight is from Dr. Robert Redfield, the virologist who served as CDC Director during President Donald Trump's first term, he said on a recent podcast that the Covid virus was intentionally engineered. As part of a BIO-defense Program and that the US role was substantial. Bio-defense or bio-warfare on the human populace, defense is a misnomer as these people or beings only attack. The attack is against us all, no matter what color, gender, sexual preference, race, country or religion you are. Do you understand the words that are coming outta my mouth? So, what happens next? Is your choice.