

THI-SHOW TRANSCRIPT 2022-06-30

There is still 80 people signed up to my Patreon, that we need to transfer over to THI-show.com. If you are one of those people please transfer over, thanks.

An example of what people are doing in one of the Communal Gatherings. Summary Minutes of Meeting for School Curriculum, Resources and Database. Meet & Greet- Introductions about who you are, and what were your experiences working within different area of schooling, background and your vision. Discussing different types of Schooling options for parents to get out of Public System. Giving options of how to learn by using critical thinking skills and what the child is interested in learning. Learning to recognizing the different learning styles that would help them progress by visuals, hands on, learning on their own and with others. Starting to build a community. a. Homeschooling b. Co-op's c. Pod's d. Montessori Networking ideas – Looking how to join them for groups projects or outings so they are linking with others PSI fields. How can we help to link parents together? Website? Social Media? Farmer Markets? Possible renaming schooling as a Path of Learning or Learning Path? Other suggestions? Discussion on where to start as TPC, incorporating our values and vision. Starting with parents relearning and rebirthing themselves from childhood traumas. They can then heal themselves and teach that to their children to move humanity forward. (Categories) a. Parents learning to rebirth by healing childhood traumas. b. Mother's being taught about pregnancy and prenatal importance. c. Afterbirth care 0-2 years old. d. Toddlers 3-5 years old. e. 6-12 years old. f. 12-Lifelong learning- Furthering education or learning a trade through apprenticeships. Adding Music, Arts and creative outlets as sub titles in Adult and Children Curriculum. Discussion about the Pros and Cons of Training Schools and on the Job Learning. How Military soldiers can train or do apprenticeships to help older children and adults succeed in job skills, while dissolving some of the karma debt. Renee will make either a spreadsheet or files in Mewe for the different categories to put all of our ideas in, so none of them our missed. When is the time for action? Right now.

NEWS: A further warning about banking following last week's piece. It is estimated that at the end of May, the Federal Reserve had an unrecognized mark-to-market loss of about \$540 billion on its \$8.8 trillion portfolio of Treasury bonds and mortgage securities. This loss, which will only get larger as interest rates increase, is more than 13 times the Federal Reserve System's consolidated capital of \$41 billion. Unlike regulated financial institutions, no matter how big the losses it may face, the Federal Reserve will not fail. It can continue to print money even if it is deeply insolvent, contrary to what the Trustee informed us, which has escalated since the Trustee funded Black Rock takeover of the Fed. Just what as the world's leading banker done to stop that? But, according to the Federal Reserve Act, Fed losses should impact its shareholders, who are the commercial bank members of the 12 district Federal Reserve banks. Among Federal Reserve

officials and many economists, it is fashionable to argue that any losses the Federal Reserve should suffer, no matter how large, will have no operational consequence. Now that the Fed has already experienced mark-to-market losses of epic proportions and will soon face large operating losses, something it has never seen in its 108-year history, we are about to see if this is true. Member banks must purchase shares issued by their Federal Reserve district bank. Member banks only pay for half the par value of their required share purchases, while the remaining half of the subscription is subject to call by the Board. In addition to potential calls to buy more Federal Reserve bank stock, under the Federal Reserve Act, member banks are also required to contribute funds to cover any district reserve bank's annual operating losses, in an amount not to exceed twice the par value of their district bank stock subscription. Note especially the use of the term shall and not may in the Federal Reserve Act: The shareholders of every Federal reserve bank shall be held individually responsible, equally and ratably, and not one for another, for all contracts, debts, and engagements of such bank to the extent of the amount subscriptions to such stock, at the par value thereof in addition to the amount subscribed. Despite congressional revisions to the Federal Reserve Act over more than a century, the current Act still contains this exact passage.

In an escalatory attack on Syria, Israeli warplanes launched missiles strikes on Damascus International Airport, damaging runways, passenger terminals and crossing a major red line. Tel Aviv's latest aggression has put Syria's main passenger airport out of service for days, if not weeks, in a deliberate act of provocation. This aggression, which violates all the previous rules of engagement, came in the immediate aftermath of a month-long Israeli military exercise in the eastern Mediterranean. These drills, we were told, mimicked real-time attacks against Iran, Syria, southern Lebanon, and even the likes of Yemen and Iraq. Hundreds of illegal Israeli missile strikes against Syria have taken place over the past five years, under the pretext of bombing Iranian arms convoys to Hezbollah. One time, these attacks have further evolved into the bombing of Syrian and Iranian military targets inside major cities in the Arab republic. The Israeli attack occurred just before dawn, a day after Hezbollah Secretary General Hassan Nasrallah delivered a speech, promising to target a UK/Greek gas exploration ship near the disputed Karish gas field. The reason for that is, Israel wants to become the main supplier of gas, and replace the Russians. What made this latest attack unique was it marked the first time that, the Damascus International Airport's civilian transport area had been targeted by Israeli military strikes. This means that Tel Aviv has underestimated the threats of retaliation from the Axis of Resistance. Israel, it seems, is subsumed in the fog of self-deception, and like one who is safe from punishment, will continue to commit the same crimes. The Axis of Resistance should not hesitate to respond to this insult as soon as possible. This legal and justified retaliation should be at least as powerful as the illegal and unjustified Israeli aggression, and it should take place in the occupied Palestinian depth. From the perspective of a legitimate right to self-defense, the response should be tit-for-tat: an airport for an

airport, a port for a port, and infrastructure for infrastructure. We know very well that war is costly, but this time its cost to the Israeli enemy will be much greater because its losses will be existential, as Sayyed Hassan Nasrallah said in his last speech. Syria did not choose this war; it did not initiate aggression and has demonstrated the highest levels of self-restraint. But now the knife has reached the bone, and restraint has become counterproductive. Yet again as distraction news points are made like the Wade/Roe nonsense, and the frankly quite stupid 20M people who followed the January 6th Democrat led false flag event from last year, Israel strikes again with impunity it seems.

The minute someone makes a comment resembling the truth, out come the lying and cover up brigade, almost in an instant, here is the latest. The Boston City Councilor Kendra Lara is under fire for what they called an antisemitic tweet, that played on Jewish stereotypes of money and power. Lara, a first-term councilor from Jamaica Plain known for her unabashed progressivism, sent a tweet Thursday morning saying y'all are letting the Zionists shake you down, phew! In a statement to the Globe sent via text Thursday morning, Lara said the tweet was in reference to a federal appeals court decision this week, that upheld an Arkansas law that required state contractors promise not to boycott Israel. Not being funny, but that court decision is outright Fascism. The appeals court ruled that the state mandate did not violate free speech protections provided by the US Constitution. But it has violated free speech in this country, as it becomes a national crime and you are added to the domestic terrorist list, for the daring crime of questioning an apartheid based fake State. The criticism is valid, and yet out come the army of internet warriors to sway opinion, using the anti-Semitic card. The Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions movement, otherwise known as BDS, pushes for boycotts against Israeli businesses, universities, and cultural institutions in what its supporters say is a nonviolent campaign against Israeli abuses against Palestinians. Laws like the one at the center of the Arkansas case are aimed at countering the BDS movement. Lara, in the statement, said she maintains her disappointment with that ruling and believes that, conflating anti-Zionism with antisemitism will ultimately prevent us, from being in true solidarity with both Jewish people and Palestinians. It was made clear to me, that use of the phrase shake them down reinforces anti-Semitic tropes about Jewish people, and although that was not my intention, the impact especially in a moment when we're seeing alarming rates of violence against Jewish people is the same and I should've known better, she said. The number of antisemitic incidents in Massachusetts reached an all-time high in 2021, according to an annual report from the Anti-Defamation League. If only the ADL where as quick to report atrocities by the country it represents. The stabbing of a rabbi in Brighton and the use of Holocaust-related terms by the Duxbury High School football team, were among the examples that contributed to the 48 percent increase in incidents over the previous year. Vandalism, harassment, and assaults all rose, with 108 occurrences recorded last year compared with 73 the year before, the report said. That harassment even though it is wrong will continue and indeed rise, due to the Zionist's overwhelming baulking of our laws, rules and indeed harvesting our banks, jobs and businesses.

The ordinary Jewish person will end up as the convenient scapegoat, just like the Jewish EL-ites planned it.

The treatment of whistleblowers at the United Nations should be examined by an external panel, its former spokeswoman on sexual harassment has said.

Purna Sen's comments follow a BBC investigation, which revealed the sackings of a number of UN staff who tried to expose alleged wrongdoing.

Ms. Sen said the UN should step up and adopt any suggestions made by a panel. Good luck with that.

The UN has said it is committed to protecting bona fide whistleblowers and holding staff accountable. Depends on their definition of bona fide, does it not?

The BBC documentary, *The Whistleblowers: Inside the UN*, features accounts from staff members who tried to report allegations, including fraud and sexual abuse. All said they had been penalized after speaking out and some were sacked.

In the film, Ms. Sen who was appointed spokeswoman on harassment, assault and discrimination in 2018, said there were women at the UN who had been approached, accosted and raped. The more men were allowed to get away with it, she said, the more they will keep doing it.

She has told BBC Newsnight that she is not surprised by the deeply-upsetting testimonies, neither are we, we have reported numerous atrocities related to the UN staff.

It suggests that sometimes the protection of the senior folk within each organization, matters more than the absence of harm to those who aren't powerful.

It means there is a real tension within an organization which not only upholds and advocates for human rights, but is actually the birthplace of most of these human rights. I'm sorry but that person is delusional of thinking the UN is interested in human rights, and therein lies the problem. Yet the UN hasn't learned to bring them home to the people who work for that organization, she said.

Ms. Sen said she wanted UN Secretary General António Guterres to appoint a diverse external panel, to consider staff experiences and recommend a focused set of actions.

In a statement, Mr. Guterres' office said it remained open to any external review of its efforts to combat misconduct of any kind. But then we have the Talmudic style law of diplomatic immunity, who gave them to right to be not accountable? is the great question to ask.

The United Nations has a protected legal status, and senior staff have diplomatic immunity from all national laws. No one working for the UN can be questioned or arrested without the UN removing their immunity. All staff complaints have to be addressed internally. The Office of Internal Oversight Services handles the most serious allegations, including claims of criminality, but has no legal authority. Like the police, they police themselves and yet call it an oversight committee, it is not, it is a hand's tied committee.

The BBC was handed a secret recording which suggests the OIOS is not always effective. It features Ben Swanson, director of the investigation's division, addressing a staff meeting. He says a senior UN female member of staff had come to him in tears, describing how an assistant secretary general had put his hand down her trousers.

The UN has a number of assistant secretary generals.

In the recording, Mr. Swanson states that he told the secretary general and other senior officials of the sexual assault allegation, but was quickly shut down. So, I tried to tell this story and I got, I was cut dead, he can be heard saying.

He added that the woman was discouraged from reporting her alleged assault, and told it wouldn't do any good to speak up since the man in question was a favored son. Really, the media speak to white privilege with aplomb, but what about clown privilege? How and why are they allowed immunity from everything, including rape and death?

Martina Bostrom, a former senior advisor for UNAIDS, told the BBC she was also a victim of sexual harassment at work.

Sexual abuse, exploitation and harassment in the UN happens in the headquarters, it happens Monday to Friday. It happens during regular work hours, it happens everywhere, she said. Simple solution to that is, quit your job and go somewhere that doesn't tolerate that shit. You cannot carry on just complaining about sexual harassment, and not do anything to rectifying it, like moving jobs. Because the non-action is complying to becoming an statistic to the victimhood syndrome.

An increasing number of people are turning away from the news because it lowers their mood, new research suggests. Lowers the mood? actually it has been lowering your intelligence and common-sense factors by several degrees for years.

A digital news report suggests that 38% say they often or sometimes avoid the news, up from 29% in 2017.

It found the number of people avoiding news over the past five years doubled in the UK 46% and Brazil 54%, and 36% particularly those under 35, who said the news affected their mood.

Issues such as the Covid-19 pandemic are thought to have contributed to the increase in people avoiding news bulletins, programs and articles.

Less than half of the sample 47% said they were very or extremely interested in news compared with 67% in 2015. Nearly half of those who took part in the global survey, 43% said they were put off by the repetitiveness of the news agenda, specifically too much politics and Covid-19 coverage.

One female respondent, 19 and from the UK, said: truthfully, I don't like to dwell too much on the mainstream news, I find sometimes it can be repetitive and negative. It is also a bag of lies and distraction.

Trust was a factor too, 29% of those surveyed said the news was untrustworthy or biased, while trust fell in half the countries surveyed and rose in just seven, compared with last year.

But trust in news is still higher than it was before the pandemic, which reinforced the importance of reliable media for many people.

On average, 42% said they trusted most news most of the time, clearly, they are lazy assed bots.

Some said they avoided the news because it led to arguments, they would rather avoid 17% or made them feel powerless 16%, while 5% said they avoid news altogether.

More than 93,000 people in 46 countries took part in the survey.

Ten years ago, 59% of people would still read a physical newspaper each week, that's now dropped to 17%. A decade ago, 79% were still regular consumers of TV news bulletins, that's now down to 53%. The survey found trust in BBC News has fallen 20 percentage points in the last five years, from 75% to 55%.

The proportion who say they distrust the BBC has grown from 11% to 26%. It is all finally falling apart, an increasing number of people are working out the lies they tell us. The worst for the clowns was the desperation act they did with Covid, it has massively backfired on them.

The Wade v. Roe debate is pure theater and designed to create talking points, deflection, agitation and division. It makes no sense, and so therefore a program. In my opinion the woman has the right to choose as it is her body, but certain mediation discussions should take place if the father wants to keep the child. Women need to be far more responsible when engaging in sexual intercourse and avoid the mistakes. There is lasting repercussion for terminating a baby, not least the future psychological damage it can do to the mother. And so far more responsibility is required to avoid having unwanted pregnancies.

MEDICAL RELATED: Canada's federal government is lifting vaccine requirements for travelers on domestic and outbound trains and planes, officials announced last week.

With infections rapidly declining and with around 81% of the population fully vaccinated, officials said they could rescind the eight-month old mandate.

The requirement that federal workers be vaccinated has also been suspended.

The new rules took effect on 20 June, Ottawa ministers said in a statement.

Since 30 October 2021, anyone over the age of 12 has been required to be vaccinated against Covid-19 to board a plane or train in Canada.

Passengers will still be required to wear masks during travel. Why? If 81% are vaccinated? And infections declining? Why still enforce that ridiculous mask that says on the box, not for Covid or disease usage.

U.S. VAERS data from Dec. 14, 2020, to May 27, 2022, for 12- to 17-year-olds show: 31,858 adverse events, including 1,833 rated as serious and 44 reported deaths. VAERS reported 44 deaths in the 12- to 17-year-old age group last week. 62 reports of anaphylaxis among 12- to 17-year-olds where the reaction was life-threatening, required treatment or resulted in death, with 96% of cases attributed to Pfizer's vaccine. VAERS reported 63 reports in the 12- to 17-year-old age group last week. 654 reports of myocarditis and pericarditis with 642 cases attributed to Pfizer's vaccine. 167 reports of blood clotting disorders with all cases attributed to Pfizer. VAERS reported 168 cases of blood clotting disorders in the 12- to 17-year-old age group last week. U.S.

VAERS data from Dec. 14, 2020, to May 27, 2022, for all age groups combined, show: 20% of deaths were related to cardiac disorders. 54% of those who died were male, 41% were female and the remaining death reports did not include the gender of the deceased. The average age of death was 73, unless you are famous, then it can be any age. As of May 27, 5,559 pregnant women reported adverse events related to COVID-19 vaccines, including 1,740 reports of miscarriage or premature birth. Of the 3,617 cases of Bell's Palsy reported, 51% were attributed to Pfizer vaccinations, 40% to Moderna and 8% to J&J. 883 reports of Guillain-Barré syndrome, with 42% of cases attributed to Pfizer, 30% to Moderna and 28% to J&J. 2,294 reports of anaphylaxis where the reaction was life-threatening, required treatment or resulted in death. 1,722 reports of myocardial infarction. 14,064 reports of blood-clotting disorders in the U.S. Of those, 6,294 reports were attributed to Pfizer, 5,032 reports to Moderna and 2,699 reports to J&J. 4,213 cases of myocarditis and pericarditis with 2,583 cases attributed to Pfizer's, 1,431 cases to Moderna's and 185 cases to J&J's COVID-19 vaccines.

More word salad jackassery, deary me the time, energy and resources these people spend coming up with things that offend them is staggering. They clearly have too much time on their hands to come up with this drivel. The World Health Organization says it is working with experts to come up with a new name for monkeypox.

It comes after more than 30 scientists wrote last week about the urgent need, for a non-discriminatory and non-stigmatizing name for the virus and the disease it causes.

Continued reference to the virus as African is both inaccurate and discriminatory, they said. OMG can they really have just said that? Who has mentioned Africans in relation to the disease except these people?

Some 1,600 cases of the disease have been recorded globally in recent weeks. 1600 cases out of a population of allegedly 7.5B and they are screaming pandemic again, utter pathetic fear laden tactics that it is why past high time people saw through this bs.

While 72 deaths have been reported in countries where monkeypox was already endemic, none have been seen in the newly affected 32 countries, such as the UK.

At the latest count, as of 12 June, there were 452 confirmed cases in England, 12 in Scotland, 2 in Northern Ireland and 4 in Wales.

The amount of nicotine in cigarettes sold in the US is set to be reduced to minimal or non-addictive levels.

The White House unveiled the plans which could dramatically reduce cancer deaths, a goal of President Joe Biden's administration, yeah right, who is kidding who here? Oh good that means they can cut the price in half or more? Reduce the taxes on them if the nicotine is removed? I know, too much common sense again.

But the measure is likely to face opposition by the tobacco industry.

Smoking remains the US's leading cause of death, accounting for 480,000 every year, according to the CDC. Which is utter bollocks, and we will take the CDC's guidelines not with a pinch of salt, the vast quantity at the Great Salt Lake.

Nicotine is a highly addictive feel good chemical in tobacco products. So, because it is feel good, that means the dour sad people want to take it away?

In a statement, the US FDA said, that plans for a proposed product standard would establish a maximum nicotine level in cigarettes, and finish some other tobacco products. Making cigarettes and other combusted tobacco products minimally addictive or non-addictive would help save lives, FDA Commissioner Dr Robert M Califf said. What happened to all the lives you allegedly saved by banning smoking in public places? Where are the figures for that? Oh, I forgot there is no evidence despite a drastic reduction in smokers. We can ignore the FDA as well, they are anti-health people like the CDC. Neither are Government organizations either.

Dr Calif added that lowering nicotine levels may decrease the likelihood that, young people become addicted to cigarettes and help current smokers quit. In 2020, an estimated 30.8 million adults in the United States smoked cigarettes. The US Surgeon General estimates that 87% of adult smokers begin by the time they turn 18. That is rising, as people found out the Government and these fake health institutions have been lying all along. The initiative comes after the Biden administration in February, set goals of reducing the cancer death rate by at least 50% over the next 25 years, as part of his Cancer Moonshot campaign. Moonshot? What is a moonshot? In technology, a moonshot is an ambitious, exploratory and groundbreaking project undertaken, without the assurance of near-term profitability or benefit, and perhaps without a full investigation of potential risks and benefits. Well that description kind of fits. Moonshot projects have the significant potential to benefit humanity since they rely on ambitious moonshot thinking, experimentation and the fail fast philosophy. Fail fast indeed.

EXPOSE: Something we have referenced before but not covered it in any great details, now we will and it is a reminder to all Americans, that are allegiance or indeed compliance to Israel and its

agents is a costly one. On June 8, 1967, Israel attacked an American intelligence ship named the USS Liberty. The incident left two-thirds of the ship's crew dead or injured, in an intentional assault by the Israeli military, yet the US government's response was to move on and become closer with Tel Aviv. Which has become all too familiar since, indeed it kicked into a new stratosphere. It could be argued that this set a precedent for Israel to kill US citizens with impunity. This was four days into the Six-Day War. Israel had occupied the West Bank, Gaza Strip and East Jerusalem, defeated the Jordanian military, crushed the Egyptians, and was having success against Syria. Tel Aviv had told the US it would be a limited war, in which it would not pursue the seizure of areas such as the Syrian Golan Heights or Egyptian Sinai Peninsula. However, Israel was poised to do just that. Floating in the international waters of the Mediterranean off the coast of Egypt, a state-of-the-art American ship carrying around 300 crewmen was stationed to gather intelligence, that was key to Washington's interests in the region. It was during the Cold War, and Israel was taking on three of the most problematic Middle East allies of the Soviet Union: Egypt, Syria and the Palestine Liberation Organization. Why is it all about Russia with the US, they have an obsession it seems, or perhaps it goes back to From his story to our story? The conflict, about to end in just a few days' time, would reshape the region in the West's favor and earn Tel Aviv its position as one of America's top allies. The Six-Day War between Israel and its Arab neighbors, often painted as Israel's battle for American interests, was not exactly as clean cut as this narrative suggests. Exactly as since, America became the patsy for Israel not the other way round. At 2pm on June 8, Israeli ground control commanded its fighter jets to attack the USS Liberty, a clearly marked US ship flying a large American flag. This was a vessel that Israel had identified at least seven times, according to audiotapes uncovered by Al-Jazeera as belonging to the US Navy. The Israeli military opened fire with armor-piercing rounds, striking the ship. They also dropped napalm, gunned down the lifeboats, and shot a torpedo into the ship. A total of 34 Americans were killed and 172 were wounded as a result of the unprovoked attack. Survivors of the USS Liberty have compiled evidence to argue that it should be tried as a war crime. Despite clear calls for a comprehensive investigation that would bring those who ordered the assault to justice, USS Liberty survivors say their pleas have never been followed through on and, until this day, much of the documentation surrounding the incident is still deemed classified by the US government. And Americans found this acceptable? Where was the outcry? Why is Israel immune to all atrocities? Who in the agencies allowed this to unfold? The cover-up: Shortly after the incident occurred, President Lyndon Johnson's administration was informed in full. Israel announced its official position, admitting that it was a deliberate assault, but that their forces had misidentified the ship and the entire episode was a huge mistake. This position was not immediately accepted by the US, but Johnson never followed through with a thorough investigation. Which is treason against the American people, and yet still to this day Americans are supporting Israel because of some fake book. It is due to the sheer scale of censorship surrounding the incident, the lack of accountability and absence of a proper inquiry, that many

survivors of the USS Liberty attack claim there has been a cover-up and continue to demand justice 55 years later. Many US military, intelligence and civilian officials have also disputed Israel's claim that it wasn't aware it was an American ship. Among them was the US secretary of state at the time, Dean Rusk, who stated in his autobiography, *As I Saw It*, that I didn't believe them then, and I don't believe them to this day. The attack was outrageous. The irony of it all is, it is likely American military hardware that killed their own soldiers, all paid for by the American taxpayer. The Israeli military, shortly afterwards, brought six charges against a number of those who had been involved. However, they were later dropped by military judge Sgan-Aluf I. Yerushalmi, who concluded in the preliminary inquiry that in all the circumstances of the case, the conduct of any one of the naval officers concerned in this incident cannot be considered unreasonable, to an extent which justified committal for trial. So, killing your so called allies is ok?, in reality we are not and neither is anyone else where Israel is concerned. They use all countries as useful puppets to fulfill their agendas. Eye-witness testimonies were later left out of the American report, that was discarded by the US government. In addition to this key evidence disappeared, and the survivors in addition to family members of the victims, were silenced from speaking to the press for fear that it would inflame US-Israeli relations. There was never a formal hearing in the US Congress about the incident, which was called unprecedented and a national disgrace, by former US admiral Thomas Moorer. Moorer also went on to state that US forces were ordered to stand down by Secretary of Defense McNamara, and President Johnson for reasons the American public deserved to know. All very 9/11 is it not, telling US Forces to stand down. The captain and crew of the Liberty, rather than being widely acclaimed as the heroes they most certainly are, have been silenced, ignored, honored belatedly and away from the cameras, and denied a history that accurately reflects their ordeal. The US media was all but silent on the USS Liberty story when it happened, in terms of it having been a potentially intentional Israeli attack, or even on the scale of the incident. No change there then and shows how far back the US media is working against this country and its people. However, Newsweek published a piece in which they cited a senior official at the White House, claiming to have believed that Israel intentionally targeted the ship. It was soon thereafter discovered that Johnson was Newsweek's source, and this enraged Israel and its lobbyists in Washington alike. If the president didn't alter his position, the Israel lobby was allegedly preparing a campaign that would accuse him of blood libel and paint him as an anti-Semite. The classic get out jail card, cite anti-Semitism, I wish people would see that for what it is. This line of argument would suggest that Johnson may have sought to find the truth, but cared more for the votes of the Jewish community in the United States and feared being painted as against their cause. But was there another reason for Johnson's compliance? The assassination of John F. Kennedy may have been the link between Johnson and Israel. If so, Johnson made the choice to save himself from a similar fate by keeping Israel's secrets. Blackmail creates the best cover-ups it seems. Israel also provided another incentive for the US president to change his approach to the issue, and drop the thought of pursuing it further, in the form of a considerable

political gift. At the time, Johnson was facing considerable backlash following his role in continuing the Vietnam War. This was a huge diplomatic headache, especially at a time when the North Vietnamese forces had recently acquired Soviet surface-to-air missiles. Every day, US aircraft were being shot out of the sky by these missiles, meaning the American death toll was steadily increasing, a problem for which Israel had the answer. Through Israel's successful ground offensive in the Egyptian Sinai, it had gotten its hands on the same Soviet missiles and the manuals for their usage. Tel Aviv decided to hand them over to the US as a gift, along with the instructions. How convenient for them, given Russia was still being ran by the Jewish Bolsheviks. To both the US and Israel, the Six-Day War was a major triumph. For the Americans, this was a major victory against their Soviet enemy and, for Israel, this was its golden moment when it had just defeated its neighbors and become the darling of the West. The following 55 years has seen even further atrocities committed by both of them, and Congress who is also in the pocket of the Israeli junta, has done nothing to correct it. The USS Liberty story getting out to the press was just too big of a speed bump, and would have negatively impacted the results achieved by both parties, if the American public were to discover what truly transpired. Why did Israel attack America? There are four primary explanations as to why Israel attacked the USS Liberty, making the truth hard to decisively pin down, and it is likely that it could be any of these four reasons, or in fact a mixture. There is also the Israeli narrative, which says the attack was a mistake. The first explanation is that Israel had sold its American allies the lie, that it was only fighting a limited war and weren't seeking a land grab. Tel Aviv had clear plans to seize not only the Sinai Peninsula, but also to Golan Heights, which it would hold after the war as its territory, along with the entirety of historic Palestine. This line of thinking suggests Israel feared that the American intelligence ship may have caused unwanted trouble, if it were to find any information on Tel Aviv's true intentions. The second and third explanations have to do with more easily observable Israeli war crimes, that the vessel could have picked up on, including the massacre of 14 UN peacekeepers in the Gaza Strip, and the mass murder of around 1,000 Egyptian prisoners of war in the Sinai. The USS Liberty was reportedly in close proximity to the city of El-Arish, where Israeli forces would go on to line up and gun down Egyptian POWs. It is believed that at least 400 captives were killed in El-Arish alone by Israeli forces. The fourth explanation, which stemmed primarily from a BBC investigative documentary, released back in 2012, was that the attack could have been a false-flag operation gone wrong. This points to the involvement of US intelligence officials, who are said to have discussed the possibility of a joint US-Israeli operation to sink an American ship in order to blame it on the Egyptians. The evidence on this is not conclusive, but would lead us to believe that both US and Israeli intelligence were seeking a Gulf of Tonkin style pretext to launch a joint war against Egypt. Although this should not be completely ruled out as a possibility, opponents of this line of thinking argue that Israel was already easily beating all of its neighbors without the aid of the US, and that it would make little sense for Washington to provide a pretext for a Soviet intervention. The fourth explanation pondered on earlier, appears the most obvious choice. Yet

again so called US Intelligence is involved in actions against its own so called country, except it is not. Anything labeled U.S. is nothing to do with America, and all things makes more sense when people understand that, and events like these become an awful lot clearer. Interestingly, in 2017, The Intercept released two classified NSA documents which shed more light on the incident. One of the documents, a classification guide that was in use until at least 2006, revealed that the NSA had its own Hebrew transliteration system. How interesting, Hebrew or Hebrew Anunnaki system is the greater question? However, the guide states that this information is secret, and hence we aren't able to conclude exactly what evidence this would present. The Intercept article states that this proves the US has historically considered Israel an intelligence target. Until this day, the NSA refuses to acknowledge that it intercepted Israeli signals that day, a claim contradicted by an investigative report released by the Chicago Tribune. The reason the question of the NSA's potential intelligence targeting of Israel is so important is, because it helps bolster the theory that Israel knew they were attacking an American ship. It may also give us reason to believe the argument made by Russian author, Joseph Daichman, in his book History of the Mossad, which says Israel attacked the Liberty to stop the Soviets from stealing US-intercepted intelligence. Daichman argues that Israel was justified in attacking the ship, as failure to do so could lead to the Soviets providing the Egyptians with sensitive intelligence information that was vital to the war effort. US-Israeli relations and the killing of Americans with impunity. No one was ever found guilty of the USS Liberty incident. In 1968, the year following the June 1967 war, the US aid budget for Israel was expanded by 450% and Tel Aviv had grown closer to the US than ever before. No future US government would ever pursue accountability for the murder of 34 unarmed US citizens. The bottom line was that Israel's position as a key US ally was more important than American lives and, since the story never did rounds in the US media, there was little protest over what had occurred. Kill American people and then make the American people pay an extra 450% in aid to the mass murderers, and no one said anything? Therein lies the problem does it not? In March 2003, another high-profile case of Israel targeting an American citizen occurred. This time, an Israeli soldier driving a militarized bulldozer in the southern Gaza Strip, was responsible for killing a young woman named Rachel Corrie. The 23-year-old American woman was clearly identifiable, and was attempting to prevent the demolition of Palestinian homes by Israeli forces. After years of her parents fighting for justice through the legal system, an Israeli court found its soldier not guilty in 2012 and that was that. The US government didn't lift a finger for young Corrie, who was brutally crushed to death. Just over a month ago, a veteran Palestinian-American journalist named Shireen Abu Akleh was shot dead by an Israeli soldier, intentionally so, according to an investigative report. The US State Department initially claimed that it trusted its Israeli allies to investigate what would amount to a war crime, remaining silent on what the US itself would do to ensure accountability. Israel later declared that it would not investigate the killing of Shireen Abu Akleh and that, even in the event of one of its soldiers being responsible, they wouldn't be liable for any criminal misconduct. It would seem, based upon the evidence

before us, that President Joe Biden's team are following the same rules that has existed since 1967 of never punishing Israel no matter what, even if it is responsible for murdering US citizens. The line of thinking here is that US aid to Israel is unconditional and that support for Tel Aviv never wanes, no matter what Tel Aviv does. The problem is not the politicians, it is the people allowing it. Every American pays for the death and destruction Israel does, no matter where in the world, and we the people allow it. We have to force them to change, by demanding all aid is cut off permanently, and if Israel needs money they can get it off the Rothschild's, Rockefellers and other high earning Jewish EL-ites instead.

EXPOSE: Here is a deep and long expose of Black Rock and The Vanguard group. You may think they have some scope but are largely background players, after this piece you won't. Investment giants BlackRock and The Vanguard Group, stand to benefit from their ownership stakes in most of the corporations that imposed Covid vaccine mandates, and in some of the technology firms developing vaccine passports. After the U.S. Supreme Court recently froze the Biden administration's Covid-19 vaccine mandate for large private employers, some companies including Boeing, General Electric and Starbucks dropped plans to implement the mandate. Others, based on guidance issued in 2020 by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, left the mandates in place. Most of the large employers that opted to mandate Covid vaccines for their employees, even though the Supreme Court ruled they didn't have to, have something in common: BlackRock and The Vanguard Group have ownership stakes in them. BlackRock and Vanguard, two of the world's Big Three asset managers, also are among the top three shareholders of Covid vaccine makers Pfizer, Moderna and Johnson & Johnson. Remember Larry Link is a Jewish EL-ite that runs Black Rock, he is no different to the Rothschilds Jewish Elite club that ran it previously, all courtesy of Trump and the Trustee. Which means the two investment giants stand to benefit from these companies' soaring profits, and the resulting rise in those companies' stock prices. But, BlackRock and Vanguard don't just benefit from sales of Covid vaccines, as it turns out, they also have ownership stakes in technology companies developing vaccine passports and digital wallets. How interesting, given Lifeforce was pushing the same digital wallets, before she had the plug pulled on her in 2020. Combined, BlackRock and Vanguard manage more than \$15 trillion in global assets. To put this figure into perspective, that amounts to more than three-fourths of the U.S. GDP and more than triple the GDP of the European Union's economic powerhouse, Germany. BlackRock is the world's largest asset manager, with more than \$9.5 trillion in assets as of July 2021, while Vanguard held more than \$7 trillion in assets as of January 2021. Notably, Vanguard is the largest stockholder in BlackRock (7.61%), while BlackRock is the biggest stockholder in Vanguard (13.06%), though the actual ownership structure of these companies has been described as dark. In an August 2021 article about the two firms, Dr. Joseph Mercola pointed out that, far from the appearance of competition promised by capitalism, BlackRock and Vanguard own significant shares in companies that ostensibly compete directly with each other, such as

Google, Apple and Microsoft, or Coca-Cola and PepsiCo. This influence extends to the media. BlackRock alone owns significant shares in supposed competitors such as Fox News, CBS, Comcast (NBC), CNN, Disney (ABC), Gannett USA TODAY and 250 daily newspapers throughout the U.S. Sinclair Media whose television stations reach 72% of the American public, and the Graham Media Group (Slate, Foreign Policy). BlackRock is also politically influential and well-connected, having been chosen by the Obama administration to buy up toxic assets following the 2007-2008 financial collapse. In 2020, BlackRock received a no-bid contract from the U.S. Treasury Department to manage a \$454 billion fund, under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act (CARES Act), for businesses adversely impacted by the Covid lockdowns early that year. That came from the Trustee. It wasn't the first time BlackRock had been granted a no-bid contract from the federal government. BlackRock along with other firms is also engaged in a real estate purchasing spree, buying up entire neighborhoods of single-family homes and converting them to rentals, driving up home prices by reducing supply on the marketplace. BlackRock's real estate strategy echoes the words of the World Economic Forum: you'll own nothing, and you'll be happy. This level of power and influence prompted Bloomberg in 2020, to characterize BlackRock as the fourth branch of government. BlackRock, Vanguard is among top 10 stockholders in most companies mandating vaccines: It is unclear to what extent BlackRock and Vanguard are able to dictate the vaccination policies of the companies in which they hold a stake, but what is clear is that the two investment firms are among the top 10 stockholders in most of these companies. Here's a rundown of major U.S. employers that continue to mandate Covid vaccines for their employees, and these companies' relationships with BlackRock and/or Vanguard. Abbvie, a U.S.-based pharmaceutical company, mandated its employees either get vaccinated or undergo weekly tests and continue to follow anti-coronavirus measures. Vanguard and BlackRock are its top two stockholders, at 7.80% and 4.47%. Albertsons a grocery store chain, required its office employees to get vaccinated and offered its staff a \$100 incentive to get the vaccine. BlackRock is its third-largest stockholder (0.85%), and Vanguard is the sixth largest (0.43%). American Express imposed a vaccine requirement for employees in its U.S. offices. Vanguard is its top stockholder (5.78%), while BlackRock is the third largest (3.68%). Anthem Inc., a health insurer, requires employees to be fully vaccinated to physically enter the company's offices, offered financial incentives to its workforce to get vaccinated and requires new candidates to be vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are its top two stockholders, at 7.38% and 4.68%. AstraZeneca requires its U.S. employees and visiting clients to be vaccinated. Three of the top 10 mutual funds holding shares in AstraZeneca PLC are managed by Vanguard. AT&T, in two separate policies, required company managers by Oct. 11, 2021 and unionized employees by Feb. 1 to be vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are its top two stockholders, at 7.58% and 5.10%. Blackstone, an investment management company, mandated employees be vaccinated and boosted in order to return to the office. Vanguard and BlackRock are its top two stockholders, at 5.57% and 3.14%. CapitalOne required employees in office-based positions to be vaccinated. Vanguard

is its second-largest stockholder (7.62%), and BlackRock is its fourth largest (4.79%). Centene, a healthcare provider, required its workforce to be vaccinated, and gave employees up to 10 days' paid leave and a \$1,000 discount on health premiums as incentives. Vanguard is its largest stockholder (10.25%), while BlackRock is the fifth largest (4.34%). Chevron issued a vaccination requirement for employees who travel internationally, expatriate employees, offshore workforce in the Gulf of Mexico and some onshore support personnel. Vanguard is its biggest stockholder (7.98%) while BlackRock is the third-largest (4.57%). Cigna, a healthcare and insurance company, required employees working remotely who visit the physical worksite to be vaccinated as of Sept. 7, 2021, and employees whose roles can only be performed onsite to be vaccinated as of Oct. 18, 2021. With an alternate option for two weekly Covid tests, and employees also were offered a \$200 incentive to get vaccinated. Vanguard is Cigna's largest stockholder (7.62%) while BlackRock is its fourth-largest (4.52%). Cisco allows only vaccinated critical workers to go to the office, and claims that 90% of its employees are vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two biggest stockholders, at 7.54% and 4.87%. Citigroup required employees be vaccinated before returning to its offices, claiming it has reached 99% compliance. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two biggest stockholders, at 8.00% and 4.75%. Columbia Sportswear required employees in its corporate headquarters to get vaccinated as of Feb. 1, placing those who didn't comply on unpaid leave and commencing a termination process against them. Vanguard is its largest stockholder (5.39%) and BlackRock is the fourth largest (4.15%). Columbia Sportswear CEO Tim Boyle previously said his company was thrilled with the Biden administration's vaccine mandate. CVS Health has a no job, no job policy, requiring corporate staff and employees who interact with patients to have been fully vaccinated as of Oct. 31, 2021. Vanguard and BlackRock are its top two stockholders, at 7.79% and 4.41%. Delta Air Lines indirectly imposed a vaccine mandate for its employees, charging those who are not vaccinated a \$200 monthly health insurance surcharge. CEO Ed Bastian previously said the company is not opposed to mandates and claimed 90% of Delta's employees were vaccinated as of October 2021. Vanguard and BlackRock are the top two stockholders, at 10.15% and 4.63%. DoorDash permits only fully vaccinated employees to voluntarily return to the office, even as its office return is delayed indefinitely. Vanguard is its third-largest stockholder (3.26%), while BlackRock is the tenth largest (1.57%). Eli Lilly, a pharmaceutical company, requires all employees be vaccinated. Vanguard is its biggest stockholder (6.86%), while BlackRock is the third biggest (4.04%). Emergent BioSolutions, a pharmaceutical company that produced the Johnson & Johnson vaccine, and which attained infamy for losing a \$600 million federal contract after millions of vaccine doses were ruined, requires employees be vaccinated. The company's federal contract allowed it to keep a reasonable quantity of Covid vaccine doses for its employees and critical subcontractors, and their respective immediate families. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two largest stockholders, at 10.07% and 9.81%. Facebook, now known as Meta, requires employees coming to work at any of its U.S. locations to be vaccinated. Vanguard

is its top stockholder at 7.30%, while BlackRock is the third largest, at 4.28%. The Ford Motor Company imposed a vaccine mandate on its U.S. salaried employees. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two biggest stockholders, at 7.18% and 4.53%. Frontier Airlines required employees be vaccinated or regularly take Covid tests, as of Oct. 1, 2021. Vanguard is its fourth-largest stockholder (1.29%). Gap required employees in its New York, Bay Area and Albuquerque hubs be vaccinated as of Sept. 7, 2021, and conducts weekly \$1,000 drawings for vaccinated employees as an incentive. Vanguard is its second-largest stockholder (7.20%), while BlackRock is fifth largest (2.51%). Gilead Sciences Inc., a pharmaceutical company, requires all workers and contractors to be vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are its second-largest and fifth-largest stockholders, at 7.96% and 6.30%. Goldman Sachs requires anyone entering its offices be fully vaccinated, as of Sept. 7, 2021, while those who are not vaccinated are obliged to work remotely. Booster shots are mandated for employees physically working in its offices, as well as for visitors, starting on Feb. 1. In January, the bank also required staff to receive twice-weekly Covid tests. Vanguard and BlackRock are its largest and third-largest stockholders, at 7.34% and 4.76%. Google, also known as Alphabet, Inc., in a policy described as compassionate, gave most of its unvaccinated employees in the U.S. a Jan. 18 deadline to get vaccinated, or be placed on paid administrative leave for 30 days. After 30 days, those who are still not vaccinated are placed on unpaid leave for up to six months, after which they will be dismissed. In November 2021, some employees at Google circulated a manifesto opposing the company's widened vaccine mandate. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two biggest stockholders, at 7.21% and 4.32%. Hasbro implemented a vaccine requirement for its employees. Vanguard is its largest stockholder at 11.01%, while BlackRock is the fourth-largest at 4.69%. Hawaiian Airlines required its U.S. workers to be vaccinated as of Nov. 1, 2021. On Feb. 2, a judge denied a bid by seven Hawaiian Airlines employees to block the company's vaccine mandate. BlackRock and Vanguard are their two biggest stockholders, at 14.41% and 9.71%. Hershey implemented a vaccine mandate for its salaried employees that went into effect Oct. 4, 2021. Recently, the company announced a small number of employees, who did not get vaccinated or receive an exemption were separated from the company. Frontline employees received four hours' pay as an incentive to get vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are the company's two biggest stockholders, at 8.86% and 6.93%. Hess, a petroleum company, mandated vaccination for its U.S. employees. Vanguard is its second-largest stockholder (9.39%), while BlackRock is fourth largest (4.45%). Humana, a healthcare company, enacted a no-job, no-job policy for its employees, requiring them to be vaccinated as of Oct. 22, 2021. The company offered employees rewards points, as part of an existing employee incentive program to encourage them to get vaccinated. Vanguard is its second-largest stockholder at 7.39%, while BlackRock is the fourth-largest, at 4.32%. IBM, the developer of New York State's digital vaccine passport, the Excelsior Pass, allowed only fully vaccinated U.S. employees to physically return to the office, as of Sept. 7, 2021, and mandated employees be fully vaccinated by Dec. 8, 2021, or face an unpaid suspension. In December 2021, some IBM employees circulated

an open letter questioning the company's vaccine mandate. Vanguard and BlackRock are IBM's biggest and third-biggest stockholders, at 7.94% and 4.87%. Intel employees were given until Jan. 4 to get vaccinated or apply for an exemption, while employees who would not get vaccinated and who were not granted an exemption were to be placed on unpaid leave in April. This policy was however recently paused. Vanguard and BlackRock are Intel's two largest stockholders, at 7.94% and 5.33%. Jefferies, a financial services company, allows only vaccinated individuals into its physical offices and outside company events, while non-vaccinated employees can continue working remotely. The company recently claimed over 95% of its global workforce has been vaccinated, and said boosters would soon be required as part of the company's JefVaxPass strategy. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two biggest stockholders, at 8.84% and 6.46%. Johnson & Johnson enacted a no-job, no-job policy, and required all of its employees and contractors to be vaccinated, as of Oct. 4, 2021. Vanguard and BlackRock are its largest and third-largest stockholders, at 8.46% and 4.67%. KraftHeinz enacted a no-job, no-job policy for its U.S. employees and implemented a vaccine mandate as of January. Vanguard is its second-largest stockholder (4.21%), while BlackRock is the fourth largest (2.43%). Lyft required corporate employees physically working in or entering its offices, but not its drivers, to furnish proof of vaccination to enter offices, as of Aug. 2, 2021. Vanguard is its biggest stockholder (7.18%), while BlackRock is the fourth biggest (3.47%). McDonald's required its corporate workforce, but not its restaurant-level workers, to get vaccinated. Vanguard is its largest stockholder (8.33%), while BlackRock is the third largest (4.56%). MGM Resorts International requires salaried employees and all new-hires be fully vaccinated even if working remotely, while unvaccinated hourly employees can provide weekly negative Covid tests. Vanguard and BlackRock are its largest and third-largest stockholders, at 8.76% and 3.96%. Microsoft required proof of vaccination for all employees, vendors and guests entering its physical locations in the U.S. as of September 2021. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two biggest stockholders, at 7.75% and 4.35%. Moderna requires all U.S. employees be vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are its second- and third-largest stockholders, at 6.34% and 4.61%. Morgan Stanley required employees to get vaccinated before returning to its New York offices, and required staff to disclose their vaccination status by July 1, 2021. The policy was extended to contingent workers, clients, and visitors visiting its New York City and Westchester County, New York locations, as of July 12, 2021. As of August 2021, the company claimed 90% of its employees were vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are its second and third-biggest stockholders, at 6.27% and 3.81%. NBCUniversal required U.S.-based workers returning to the office be fully vaccinated and provide details about their vaccination status, while a full return to the office has been indefinitely postponed. NBCUniversal is fully owned by Comcast, whose largest and third-largest stockholders are Vanguard (8.26%) and BlackRock (4.12%). Comcast, in turn, has required all of its employees to get vaccinated. Netflix implemented a vaccine requirement for its U.S. offices and filming locations. Vanguard is its largest

stockholder (7.14%), while BlackRock is the sixth largest (4.03%). The New York Times Company requires proof of vaccination for employees who voluntarily wish to return to the office, and was eyeing a full return to the office in the first quarter of this year. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two biggest stockholders, at 9.25% and 7.32%. Nike requires office-based employees be vaccinated, and in January made headlines for firing a vaccinated employee, who refused to furnish proof of vaccination to a third-party verification service hired by the company. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two biggest stockholders, at 7.88% and 4.62%. Novartis, a pharmaceutical company, requires U.S. staff to be vaccinated. Vanguard mutual funds are four of the top 10 mutual funds holding stock in Novartis AG. Pfizer required all U.S. workforce and contractors to get vaccinated or participate in weekly Covid testing. Vanguard is its largest stockholder (7.77%), while BlackRock is its third largest (4.63%). Pioneer Natural Resources mandated vaccination for its new-hires and offered a \$1,000 incentive to employees who get vaccinated. Vanguard is its largest stockholder (9.53%), while BlackRock is the fifth largest (4.57%). Roblox, a tech company, requires U.S. employees to be vaccinated. Vanguard is its seventh biggest stockholder (1.96%). Roche, a pharmaceutical and medical equipment company, requires U.S. employees be vaccinated. The company is largely family-owned, but Vanguard mutual funds are two of the five largest mutual funds holding shares in Roche Holding AG. Salesforce, a cloud software provider, requires office employees be vaccinated, but allows the majority of its global workforce to choose remote work. Vanguard is its largest stockholder (7.07%); BlackRock is the fourth largest (4.28%). TJX, the parent company of retail chains such as HomeGoods, Marshalls and T.J. Maxx, required U.S. home and regional office associates be fully vaccinated as of Nov. 1, 2021, and mandated a booster shot by Feb. 1. Vanguard is its largest stockholder (7.17%), while BlackRock (4.13%) is the third largest. T-Mobile US announced it will fire corporate employees who are not fully vaccinated by April 2. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two biggest stockholders, at 3.28% and 2.38%. Twitter requires employees be vaccinated and demonstrate proof of vaccination prior to returning to the company's offices in San Francisco and New York City. In May 2020, the company announced an indefinite work from home option for its workforce. Vanguard (8.35%) and BlackRock (4.49%) are its second and third-largest stockholders. Tyson Foods mandated vaccination for its employees, and in Nov. 2021, announced 96% of its workforce was vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two largest stockholders, at 11.38% and 4.91%. Uber requires U.S. office staff be vaccinated in order to return to the office, but did not extend this requirement to its drivers. Vanguard (4.07%) is its second-largest stockholder, while BlackRock (2.50%) is the fourth largest. United Airlines implemented a no-job, no-job policy and required employees be vaccinated five weeks after the U.S. FDA fully approved a Covid vaccine or five weeks after Sept. 20, 2021, whichever came first. In December 2021, a court declined a bid by some United employees to block the company's vaccine mandate. Vanguard and BlackRock are the airline's biggest and third-biggest stockholders, at 10.16% and 4.28%. UPS required office workers in some of its U.S.

locations get vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two largest stockholders, at 8.39% and 4.60%. Valero required new hires at its Louisiana and Texas refineries to be vaccinated, as of Oct. 1, 2021. Vanguard is its biggest stockholder (10.98%), while BlackRock (5.58%) is its third biggest. Verizon required non-union employees, representing most of its workforce, provide proof of vaccination as of Dec. 8, 2021. Vanguard and BlackRock are its two largest stockholders, at 7.44% and 4.71%. ViacomCBS requires all of U.S.-based employees working onsite during the company's Yellow Phase be fully vaccinated, while the company is still assessing whether this mandate will be extended into its Green Phase, when most staff will physically return to the office. Vanguard (10.29%) is its largest stockholder, while BlackRock (5.03%) is third largest. Walgreens required employees in the company's U.S. support offices be fully vaccinated by Sept. 30, 2021, or enroll in a Covid testing program. Vanguard is the top stockholder of the Walgreens Boots Alliance (6.61%), while BlackRock is third largest (4.22%). Walmart implemented a no-job, no-job policy for corporate staff, but not for store or warehouse employees. It has, however, offered a \$150 incentive to store and warehouse workers to get vaccinated. The company claimed the overwhelming majority of its employees who were mandated to get vaccinated, have done so. Notably, the company enforced a vaccine mandate for shoppers in Canada, generating criticism. Vanguard is its largest stockholder (4.31%), while BlackRock is the third largest (2.30%). The Walt Disney Company required much of its U.S. workforce be vaccinated, though the company was obliged to pause this policy for its Florida employees, after state lawmakers barred employers from requiring workers to get vaccinated. Vanguard and BlackRock are Disney's two biggest stockholders, at 7.15% and 4.24%. Warner Media, a subsidiary of AT&T, required salaried and non-union U.S. employees to get vaccinated before returning to the office in September 2021, while proof of vaccination is required to enter a WarnerMedia office building. The Washington Post requires all employees, including new employees, to provide proof of vaccination, implementing a no job, no job policy. The newspaper is owned by Nash Holdings LLC, which is fully owned by Jeff Bezos, founder and executive chairman of Amazon, whose two largest stockholders are Vanguard (6.19%) and BlackRock (3.51%). What about the two asset management companies, BlackRock and Vanguard? Of the two, only BlackRock has implemented a vaccine mandate, allowing vaccinated staff to return to the office in July 2021. Vanguard has not implemented a mandate, but offered a \$1,000 incentive to its employees to encourage them to get vaccinated. Vaccine passport technology is another way BlackRock, Vanguard profit from vaccines: BlackRock and Vanguard also are stakeholders in tech companies involved in the development of digital vaccine passports or digital wallets, and technology that can track and allocate personal carbon allowances. These companies include: Apple, which is collaborating with several U.S. states, to make official documents such as drivers' licenses and medical records available digitally via Apple Wallet. Vanguard is its top shareholder (7.35%) and BlackRock is its third-biggest (4.12%). Mastercard, which supports the Good Health Pass vaccine passport initiative that is also backed by the ID2020 alliance,

and promoted technology that can be embedded into the DO Card, a credit/debit card that can keep track of one's personal carbon allowance. Oh dear the carbon allowance credit card and credit rating. Its top two stockholders are Vanguard (6.82%) and BlackRock (4.13%). In turn, Mastercard is the fifth largest investor in Doconomy, a Swedish FinTech firm that is also heavily involved in the development of the DO Card. Doconomy, in turn, collaborates with another Swedish FinTech firm Klarna, in providing 90 million customers with carbon footprint insights based on their Doconomy transactions. While Klarna is privately held, its top investors include BlackRock and Visa. Oracle is a backer of the SMART Health Card, which is gaining prominence in the U.S. as a de facto national digital vaccine passport, and also is a provider of cloud services to the U.S. CDC. Its top two stockholders are Vanguard and BlackRock, with 5.16% and 2.99%. Thales Group, is a founding member of the Security Identity Alliance, which is a stakeholder in the UN's Legal Identity Agenda Task Force, that has set the establishment of digital identification for all by 2030. Thales Group has also developed a smart health card and digital ID wallet technology. While the government of France, which has imposed among the strictest Covid-19 restrictions in Europe and has used vaccine passports, to shut the unvaccinated out of many public spaces and activities, is Thales' top shareholder (25.7%), Vanguard is the sixth largest, at 1.31%. No moral core, no moral purpose: In podcaster Joe Rogan's interview last month with Dr. Robert Malone, the interview that triggered the exodus of musicians and others from Spotify. Malone described companies like BlackRock and Vanguard as large massive funds that are completely decoupled from nation states, and that have no moral core or moral purpose, their only purpose being a return on investment. As it turns out, BlackRock, Vanguard and Moderna, also have ties to Spotify. BlackRock is Spotify's seventh-largest shareholder (1.37%), while Vanguard manages the top mutual fund holding Spotify Technology SA. Baillie Gifford, a Scotland-based asset management firm in existence since 1909, is the top institutional stockholder (11.60%) in Spotify, and the top stockholder of Moderna (11.29%), the company that carries the largest overall weight in the firm's portfolio, at \$12 billion in holdings. Other major Baillie Gifford holdings, including some companies listed above among those mandating Covid vaccines, include Tesla (second highest at 6.3% of its portfolio's value), Amazon (fourth highest at 3.8%), Spotify (seventh highest at 2.8%), Netflix (ninth highest at 2.6%), Meta (12th, 1.4%), Microsoft (16th, 1.3%), Anthem (21st, 1.2%), Alphabet Inc. (22nd, 1.1%), BioNTech (29th, 0.9%), Mastercard (39th, 0.6%), DoorDash (45th, 0.6%), Salesforce (53rd, 0.5%), and Lyft (93rd, 0.2%). Baillie Gifford, through its Scottish Mortgage Investment Trust, also maintains a significant stake in Palantir (0.2% of the firm's net asset value. Palantir developed the Tiberius vaccine allocation planning system, operated by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal which terminated the contracts of nonprofits opposed to vaccine mandates and a Facebook board member, also is a co-founder of Palantir and serves on its board of directors. Palantir's top two stockholders are Vanguard (6.08%) and BlackRock (3.31%). In turn, the top stockholders of BioNTech, Pfizer's partner in the development of its Covid vaccine, include Baillie Gifford

(biggest stockholder, 2.69%) and BlackRock (seventh highest, 0.59%), while Vanguard manages the top mutual fund with holdings in BioNTech (0.92%), and Baillie Gifford the ninth biggest (0.23%). BlackRock and Vanguard are poised to continue expanding as far back as 2017, Bloomberg predicted that by 2028, these two companies would be managing \$20 trillion worth of investments. The size and scope of the firms' investments raise questions about how much influence BlackRock and Vanguard can wield, over the formulation of corporate policies by the companies in which the two firms are heavily invested. This ever-growing influence has led some analysts to describe the two firms as kingmakers, arguing their growing voting share in an increasing number of corporations, would hand them a de-facto veto on all major corporate decisions by 2040. To what extent do companies mandating Covid vaccines have the best interest of their employees in mind? Or are these companies implementing policies under the guise of protecting employees, when in fact they are more concerned about appeasing major investors? Exactly the latter, they are not interested in our health, only in stealing our wealth. What else might these companies do, if encouraged in some way by major stockholders? Moreover, do mandatory or strongly encouraged vaccination policies, reflect the worldview of funds such as BlackRock and Vanguard and their managers, in much the same way major corporations have embraced purportedly green policies, which only barely cloak potentially totalitarian restrictions on civil liberties, such as personal carbon allowances and digital vaccine passports? The answers may lie, in part, in the words of BlackRock CEO and chairman, Larry Fink. In his 2022 annual letter to CEOs, Fink wrote that employees are increasingly looking to their employer as the most trusted, competent and ethical source of information, more so than government, the media and NGOs. Fink said, workers demanding more from their employers is an essential feature of effective capitalism, an interesting viewpoint given that the BlackRock and Vanguard strategy to control as many corporations as possible, including competing ones, would seem to contradict the principles of capitalism, competition, and a free market. Fink also warned that companies not adjusting to this new reality, and responding to their workers do so at their own peril. In other words, employees and workers of companies that have imposed vaccine mandates should take comfort in such policies, as their employer appears to know what's best for them, at least according to Fink. The employer is as stupid as the rest of them, and what they are revealing is, your employer is the new authority. Well, they can stick that where the sun don't shine. This is why we need to build the TPC, to replace these utter parasites and harvesting agents. A combined peoples can boycott all of their companies, and we can and should develop our own alternative.

OTHER PIECES: The now global exodus out of the traditionally clown-based operation centers, continues at a pace. Millions of people are moving out of the South Korean capital in search of a better quality of life, while changes in the corporate world are seeing business districts fade in importance as South Koreans are moving out of Seoul, with factors including the high cost of housing and the draw of suburbs and smaller cities for families with children. Just like the recent

mention of Jerusalem. Statistics published by the South Korean government showed that 9.49 million people lived in metropolitan Seoul as of May of this year, down from a peak of 10.97 million residents in 1992. The capital's population already fell below the 10 million threshold in 2016, and the new report from the Ministry of the Interior and Safety predicts that the number of residents could even contract to just 7.2 million by 2050. Who will pay all these expensive taxes then? Like I have said the likes of New York, California, London, Jerusalem, Paris and many others will become ghost towns, relics of the failed old past.

Donald Trump has proposed profiling Muslim Americans and shutting down mosques, he claims that Muslim hatred is beyond comprehension. I guess he got that from his handler and who acted as a female voter for the Democrats, Jared Kushner. Yes, Kushner was registered as a female voter on the National Registry. But, Trump's very first foreign trip he went to Saudi, are they not classed as Muslims to the bot world? THI members know the House of Saud are Jewish.

But the truth is that Muslim Americans are not only integrating into U.S. society, but are actually more opposed to violence and more tolerant in many ways than many other Americans. Indeed they are, not all Muslims are jihadists like the US media and clowns have tried to portray them, and quite why the people get opinions from them people anyway, is beyond my comprehension at this point.

Muslims are similar to other religious Americans. Pew's major survey of Muslims in 2011 found that religion was equally important to Christian and Muslim Americans. Christians and Muslims also attend religious services with about the same frequency.

Only 35 percent of Muslims saw their religion as the only true faith, compared with 30 percent of Christians. Like 64 percent of U.S. Christians, a majority of Muslim Americans think different religions can lead to eternal life. Pew even found that Muslims are much less prone to scriptural literalism than evangelicals.

Finally, a common sense piece on something.

Dramatic new findings from two climate science professors, suggest that an increase in carbon dioxide in the atmosphere follows a rise in temperature, rather than coming before it and causing it. Throwing into doubt the whole of the current theory of human-driven global warming. The scientists propose that higher temperatures increase the natural processes of soil respiration and ocean outgassing, and hence boost natural CO₂ emissions. If confirmed, the information destroys the so-called settled science basis upon which, the command-and-control Net Zero political agenda depends. Demetris Koutsoyiannis and Zbigniew Kundzewicz sequenced the changes in

temperatures and CO₂ growth rates from 1980 to 2019 from widely available sources, and discovered that CO₂ values lagged temperature by about six months. The obvious point is made that, in attempting to prove causality as climate alarmists do, by arguing that increases in temperature are the result of, increases in human-caused CO₂, but cause cannot lag effect. The scientists are not the only researchers struggling to find evidence to support the notion that carbon dioxide, human-caused or otherwise, is the global climate thermostat knob. In 2015, a group of scientists led by Professor Ole Humlum of the University of Oslo, found a similar monthly lag between CO₂ and temperature. Again, using a selection of widely available datasets for the period 1980 to 2011, the researchers found that changes in CO₂ always lagged changes in temperature. The lag was around 9-10 months for global surface air temperatures, and about nine months for lower troposphere temperatures. Discussion of the climate role of CO₂ in the atmosphere has largely disappeared in mainstream media, on the spurious grounds that the science is settled. At the BBC, for instance, debate on the subject is more or less banned. Humans only produce 4% of the annual CO₂ that enters the atmosphere, and if this is seen to have little effect in changing the climate, the reason for pressing ahead with a ruinous Net Zero policy evaporates. Trillions of dollars for green subsidies, development work and academic grants, along with huge numbers of jobs and countless virtuous opinions and reputations, suggest a full understanding may take a little time. Another fake clown money harvesting program, backed largely by non-expert scientists and media based retards, so it is refreshing to see some of them speaking out.

Some things you realize as you get older: Loneliness doesn't stop when we are surrounded by people, it stops when we are seen for who we truly are.

Actions and words both have value, it's when the two don't align that the value is lost.

Our partner cannot fulfill our needs, and it's not their job to do so.

If it doesn't bring peace, profits, or purpose, then don't give it your time, energy, or attention.

A lot of conversations need to be had in person. Tones need to be heard; facial expressions need to be seen.

Your greatest test will be how you handle people who mishandled you.

You can mute people in real life too, it's called boundaries.

Never lose your self-respect for someone who doesn't care about your feelings and emotions.

Some people will always be important, with or without a conversation.

When you care for someone more than they deserve, you get hurt more than you deserve.

The people we choose to spend time with will shape who we become.

Life hack: Replace judgment with curiosity.

Aviation startup Venus Aerospace has finally revealed new animation and key specifications of its Stargazer spaceplane. Dio will be proud they are using his song as the name of a ship, not. Designed to travel at an altitude of 170,000 feet and nearly ten times the speed of sound, the futuristic-looking spaceplane boasts the unique ability to reach virtually any location on Earth within one hour. After decades of research and experimentation, an era of hypersonic flight, where aircraft routinely travel at over five times the speed of sound, is finally upon us. Domestic and foreign military branches are testing and perfecting hypersonic missiles, while aviation companies are moving forward with designs for commercial hypersonic planes. Some of those military projects are reportedly close to actual deployment, while the commercial efforts are still years away from actual implementation. Founded in 2020 with millions of dollars in government and private venture funding, Houston, Texas-based Venus Aerospace is increasingly positioning itself as a critical player in this effort, including some incredibly lofty goals. Indeed, they might be using our tax dollars to fund it, but the chance of an average person going on these people will be next to zero. Rich toys for rich boys again it seems. Stargazer eh, seems like the right time to play this again.

ENDING PIECE: A recent interview brought up the suggestion of us working with AI and technology? But, why from an overview would we trust either at this point? When both have been used largely against humanity. This is why in the Solutions show I mentioned about us assessing every piece of technology and it's machinations, with the question of, is it beneficial or not? Here is another great question, Is AI just a fancy and limiting name for the people or beings very own consciousness? It is we who created what I call the library, to some it is called the Akashic, the knowledge database accessible when one is ready. Is or was AI used to hijack the library and deny us knowledge? Personally I will never agree to AI as it is corruptible, it can only be of benefit when we have a planet that is ran by harmonious people and or beings. It is our innate abilities that bothers the clowns, and always has done. This is why clowns suppress us, they can't handle the frequency or our innate abilities when a person learns how to switch it on. These weak and pathetic control freaks with their Metatronic coding are flailing and failing, as humanity is awakening by the many, but still relatively the few based on the so called global populace. They know they are losing, and are using technology to trick us into complying with their slave and harvesting game.

This is what the Covid is all about, to dampen the frequency, enhance their control game by hijacking the bodies of those who took the jabs. The Clowns are operating blind, due to the failure of the Looking Glass technology in 2012, the failure of the old psychotic AI in 2016, which is why they are desperate to create a new one. And so they are left doing things themselves with no overall leader either, with many of their archetypal names rendered absent or mute, they are children operating machinery in the dark now. As the frequencies rises, the changes already underway will become even more noticeable, if you can “see”. They will try to keep using their Vrill machines and we will continue to override them and close them down. They will continue to play games with satellites, and we will continue to override them and close them down as well. They will continue to try and distort reality via technology, but ultimately it will fail for those ready for the next phase. Everyone had a choice, everyone was reminded, and many chose to ignore and immerse themselves in the illusion based reality. Filled with lies, limitation, laziness, drama, bitching and complete distortion of not only nature, but life itself. The incoming G cloud will escalate their demise, it will accelerate your growth, learning and abilities, not magic. Magic as an entity word is trickery of and by the dark forces, abilities have the tendency to be magic to those who misunderstand it. They misinterpret it, use it for personal or group gain, that ultimately ends up destroying themselves. Magic is ego based, abilities are a natural inherent technology available to us all, if we develop ourselves in the right way. The changes ongoing now are extraordinary in so many ways, in 2020 it was said we would see, and oh have we seen. The wheat and chaffe ongoing since then has been extraordinary also, and that had to be witnessed and so sufficient understand the dynamics of what is unfolding. We stand on the precipice of a massive leap for humanity, and the clowns know it can’t be stopped, and are trying to take as many people as possible with them. That is the west gate for them, and more and more will simply disappear, that is their issue. Ours is to build new platform and way of operating, that doesn’t replicate anything from the old world. The old world is gone and disappearing into the mists of time, eventually all those that are left will see. Here is a pondering, the word Continuum, a continuous nonspatial whole or extent or succession, in which no part or portion is distinct or distinguishable from adjacent parts.

Time - the continuum of experience in which events pass from the future through the present to the past.

History - the continuum of events occurring in succession leading from the past to the present, and even into the future. All of human history, I will leave you to ponder on that cryptic continuum conundrum. But you know what is really unfolding is, in the title of the final song.

